arXiv:1405.7680v2 [math.AG] 21 Jan 2015

COHERENT TANNAKA DUALITY AND ALGEBRAICITY OF HOM-STACKS

JACK HALL AND DAVID RYDH

ABSTRACT. We establish Tannaka duality for noetherian algebraic stacks with affine stabilizer groups. Our main application is the existence of Hom-stacks in great generality.

1. INTRODUCTION

Classically, Tannaka duality reconstructs a group from its category of finite dimensional representations. Various incarnations of this have been studied for decades. In [Lur04], a Tannaka duality for algebraic stacks was introduced. This reformulation centered on the properties of the functor

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_X(T)\colon \operatorname{Hom}(T,X) &\to \operatorname{Hom}_{c\otimes}(\mathsf{QCoh}(X),\mathsf{QCoh}(T)),\\ (f\colon T\to X) &\mapsto (f^*\colon \mathsf{QCoh}(X)\to\mathsf{QCoh}(T)),\end{aligned}$$

where T and X are algebraic stacks and the subscript $c\otimes$ denotes cocontinuous symmetric monoidal functors. In [Lur04] it was established that if X is quasi-compact with affine diagonal (e.g., the quotient of a variety by an affine algebraic group), then $\omega_X(T)$ is fully faithful with image consisting of *tame* functors for every algebraic stack T. Even though tameness of a functor is a difficult condition to verify, Lurie was able to establish some striking applications of his results.

Lurie's result is well-suited to the types of algebraic stacks that appear in algebraic topology and geometric representation theory—such stacks tend to have affine diagonal. In moduli theory, however, there are natural stacks that do not have quasi-affine diagonal (e.g., stacks of singular curves [AK14, §4.1]) nor even separated diagonal (e.g., log stacks). The main result of this article is the following theorem, which besides removing Lurie's hypothesis of affine diagonal, obviates tameness.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a noetherian algebraic stack with affine stabilizers. For every locally excellent algebraic stack T, the natural functors*

$$\operatorname{Hom}(T,X)\to\operatorname{Hom}_{r\otimes,\simeq}(\mathsf{Coh}(X),\mathsf{Coh}(T))\to\operatorname{Hom}_{c\otimes,\simeq}(\mathsf{QCoh}(X),\mathsf{QCoh}(T))$$

are equivalences of groupoids.

Date: Jan 20, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14A20; Secondary 14D23, 18D10.

Key words and phrases. Tannaka duality, algebraic stacks, Hom-stacks, Mayer–Vietoris squares, formal gluings.

This collaboration was supported by the Göran Gustafsson foundation. The second author is also supported by the Swedish Research Council 2011-5599.

That X has *affine stabilizers* means that $\mathrm{Aut}(x)$ is affine for every field k and point $x\colon \mathrm{Spec}(k) \to X$; equivalently, the diagonal of X has affine fibers. We also wish to emphasize that we do not assume that the diagonal of X is separated in Theorem 1.1. The subscript $r\otimes, \simeq$ (resp. $c\otimes, \simeq$) denotes right exact (resp. cocontinuous) symmetric monoidal functors and natural isomorphisms. The restriction to stacks with affine stabilizers is a necessary condition for the equivalence in Theorem 1.1 (see Theorem 10.1). An algebraic stack is *locally excellent* if there exists a smooth presentation by an excellent scheme (see Remark 7.2); this includes every algebraic stack that is locally of finite type over a field, over $\mathbb{Z}$, or over a complete local noetherian ring.

Applications. In future work with J. Alper [AHR14], Theorem 1.1 is applied to resolve Alper's conjecture on the local quotient structure of algebraic stacks [Alp10]. A more immediate application of Theorem 1.1 is the following algebraicity result for Hom-stacks, generalizing all previously known results.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $Z \to S$ be a proper and flat morphism of algebraic stacks that is of finite presentation. If $X \to S$ is a morphism of algebraic stacks that is locally of finite presentation with quasi-compact and quasi-separated diagonal and affine stabilizers, then so is $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, X) \to S$. If $X \to S$ has affine (resp. quasi-affine, resp. separated) diagonal, then so has $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, X)$.*

Theorem 1.2 was motivated by [AOV11, Question 1.4]. Theorem 1.2 has already seen applications to log geometry [Wis14], an area which provides a continual source of stacks that are neither Deligne–Mumford nor have separated diagonal. In general, the condition that X has affine stabilizers is necessary (see Theorem 10.4). However, if $Z \to S$ is finite and flat of finite presentation, then $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, X)$ is algebraic for every algebraic stack X [Ryd11c, Thm. 3.12]. Establishing the separation properties of $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, X)$ in complete generality requires some extensions of the results of Olsson [Ols06b], which we isolate in Appendix C.

There are analogous algebraicity results for Weil restrictions (equivalently, restrictions of scalars).

Theorem 1.3. *Let $f\colon Z \to S$ be a proper and flat morphism of algebraic stacks that is of finite presentation. If $X \to Z \to S$ is a morphism of algebraic stacks that is locally of finite presentation with quasi-compact and quasi-separated diagonal and affine stabilizers, then so is the Weil restriction $f_*X = \mathbf{R}_{Z/S}(X) \to S$. If $X \to Z$ has affine (resp. quasi-affine, resp. separated) diagonal, then so has f_*X.*

In the case where Z has finite diagonal and X has quasi-finite and separated diagonal, Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 were proved in [HR14c, Thms. 3 & 4]. They were subsequently generalized in [HR14b, Thm. 2.3 & Cor. 2.4], where the finite presentation assumptions on $X \to S$ were excised. Using a similar argument, we also excise the finite presentation assumptions from $X \to S$ (Corollary 9.2). Besides yielding much stronger results, we feel that the techniques developed in this paper to prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 are conceptually simpler and more robust than the approach of [HR14c].

Refinement of Tannaka duality. For stacks with quasi-affine diagonal, Theorem 1.1 can be strengthened: no excellency assumptions and we can include all natural transformations (not just the natural isomorphisms as in Theorem 1.1).

Theorem 1.4. *Let X be a quasi-compact algebraic stack with quasi-affine diagonal and let T be an algebraic stack. Then the natural functor*

$$\omega_X(T)\colon \operatorname{Hom}(T,X) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{c\otimes}(\mathsf{QCoh}(X),\mathsf{QCoh}(T))$$

is fully faithful. If T is locally noetherian, then $\omega_X(T)$ is an equivalence.

Theorems 1.1 and 1.4 are consequences of a more precise Tannaka duality result for non-noetherian stacks with affine stabilizers (see Theorem 8.4).

Further applications. Note that $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathsf{QCoh}(X),\mathsf{QCoh}(-))$ is a stack for the fpqc topology, whereas X is only known to be a stack for the fppf topology—unless it has quasi-affine diagonal [LMB, Cor. 10.7]. This indicates that the restrictions on T in Theorem 1.1 could be necessary when Δ_X is not quasi-affine. In particular, we do not know if noetherian algebraic stacks with affine stabilizers are stacks in the fpqc topology. Nonetheless, we are able to establish the following result.

Corollary 1.5. *Let X be a quasi-separated algebraic stack with affine stabilizers. Let $\pi\colon T' \to T$ be an fpqc covering such that T is a locally excellent stack and T' is locally noetherian. Then X satisfies effective descent for π.*

Another application concerns completions.

Corollary 1.6. *Let A be a noetherian ring and let $I \subseteq A$ be an ideal. Assume that A is complete with respect to the I-adic topology. Let X be a noetherian algebraic stack and consider the natural morphism*

$$X(A) \to \varprojlim X(A/I^n)$$

of groupoids. This morphism is an equivalence if either

(i) *X has affine stabilizers and A is excellent (or merely a G-ring); or*
(ii) *X has quasi-affine diagonal.*

The special case of Corollary 1.6(ii) where X has quasi-finite and separated diagonal, was already settled by previous work of the authors: it is a special case of [HR14c, Thm. 4.3] via [Ryd15, Thm. D]. Another special case of Corollary 1.6(ii) is when X is a quasi-separated algebraic space. In this case the equivalence $X(A) \to \varprojlim X(A/I^n)$ has recently been extended to non-noetherian complete rings A by Bhatt [Bha14, Thm. 1.1]. That X has affine stabilizers in Corollary 1.6 is necessary (see Theorem 10.5).

On the proof. The equivalence of $\omega_X(T)$ when X has the resolution property has appeared in various forms in the work of others (cf. Schäppi [Sch12, Thm. 1.3.2], Savin [Sav06] and Brandenburg [Bra14, Cor. 5.7.12]). Since this case is an essential stepping stone in the proof of our main theorem (Theorem 8.4), we have included a full proof in Theorem 4.9. A key point, which has been observed by many, is that vector bundles on X are dualizable objects in $\mathsf{QCoh}(X)$ and that any tensor functor preserves dualizable objects.

In general, there are not enough vector bundles. Indeed, if X has enough vector bundles, then X has affine diagonal [Tot04, Prop. 1.3]. Our proof uses the following three ideas to overcome this problem:

(i) If $U \subseteq X$ is a quasi-compact open immersion and $\mathsf{QCoh}(X) \to \mathsf{QCoh}(T)$ is a tensor functor, then there is an induced tensor functor $\mathsf{QCoh}(U) \to \mathsf{QCoh}(V)$ where $V \subseteq T$ is the "inverse image of U". The proof of this is based on ideas of Brandenburg and Chirvasitu [BC14]. (Section 5)

(ii) If X is an infinitesimal neighborhood of a stack with the resolution property, then $\omega_X(T)$ is an equivalence for all T. (Section 6)

(iii) There is a constructible stratification of X into stacks with affine diagonal and the resolution property (Proposition 8.2). We deduce the main theorem by induction on the number of strata using formal gluings [MB96]. This step uses special cases of Corollaries 1.5 and 1.6. (Sections 7 and 8)

In Appendix B, we extend the results of [MB96] to non-separated algebraic spaces. We are thus able to prove the main theorems without assuming that the diagonal of X is separated.

Open questions. Concerning (ii), it should be noted that we do not know the answer to the following two questions.

Question 1.7. *If X_0 has the resolution property and $X_0 \hookrightarrow X$ is a nilpotent closed immersion, then does X have the resolution property?*

The question has an affirmative answer if X_0 is cohomologically affine, e.g., $X_0 = B_k G$ where G is a linearly reductive group scheme over k. The question is open if $X_0 = B_k G$ where G is not linearly reductive, even if $X = B_{k[\epsilon]} G_\epsilon$ where G_ϵ is a deformation of G over the dual numbers [Con10].

Question 1.8. *If $X_0 \hookrightarrow X$ is a nilpotent closed immersion and $\omega_{X_0}(T)$ is an equivalence, is then $\omega_X(T)$ an equivalence?*

Step (ii) answers neither of these questions but uses a special case of the first question (Lemma 6.2) and the conclusion (Main Lemma 6.1) is a special case of the second question.

The following technical question also arose in this research.

Question 1.9. *Let X be an algebraic stack with quasi-compact and quasi-separated diagonal and affine stabilizers. Let k be a field. Is every morphism $\operatorname{Spec} k \to X$ affine?*

If X étale-locally has quasi-affine diagonal, then Question 1.9 has an affirmative answer (Lemma 4.5). This makes finding counterexamples extraordinarily difficult and thus very interesting. This question arose because if $\operatorname{Spec} k \to X$ is non-affine, then $\omega_X(\operatorname{Spec} k)$ is not fully faithful (Theorem 10.2). This explains our restriction to natural isomorphisms in Theorem 1.1. Note that every morphism $\operatorname{Spec} k \to X$ as in Question 1.9 is at least quasi-affine [Ryd11b, Thm. B.2]. We do not know the answer to the question even if X has separated diagonal and is of finite type over a field.

On the applications. Let T be a noetherian and locally excellent algebraic stack and let Z be a closed substack defined by a coherent ideal $J \subseteq \mathcal{O}_T$. Let $Z^{[n]}$ be the closed immersion defined by J^{n+1}. Assume that the natural functor $\mathsf{Coh}(T) \to \varprojlim_n \mathsf{Coh}(Z^{[n]})$ is an equivalence of categories. Then an immediate consequence of Tannaka duality (Theorem 1.1) is that

$$\mathrm{Hom}(T, X) \to \varprojlim \mathrm{Hom}(Z^{[n]}, X)$$

is an equivalence of categories for every noetherian algebraic stack X with affine stabilizers. This applies in particular if A is excellent and I-adically complete and $T = \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ and $Z = \mathrm{Spec}(A/I)$; this gives Corollary 1.6. More generally, it also applies if T is proper over $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$ and $Z = T \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(A)} \mathrm{Spec}(A/I)$ (Grothendieck's existence theorem). Theorem 1.2 follows quite easily from this latter case. There are also non-proper stacks T satisfying $\mathsf{Coh}(T) \to \varprojlim_n \mathsf{Coh}(Z^{[n]})$, such as global quotient stacks with proper good moduli spaces (see [GZ14, AB05] for some special cases). Such statements, and their derived versions, were recently considered by Halpern-Leistner–Preygel [HP14]. There, they considered variants of our Theorem 1.2. For their algebraicity results, their assumption was similar to assuming that $\mathsf{Coh}(T) \to \varprojlim_n \mathsf{Coh}(Z^{[n]})$ was an equivalence (though they also considered other derived versions), and that $X \to S$ was locally of finite presentation with affine diagonal.

Relation to other work. As mentioned in the beginning of the Introduction, Lurie identifies the image of $\omega_X(T)$ with the *tame* functors when X is quasi-compact with affine diagonal [Lur04]. Tameness means that faithful flatness of objects is preserved. This is a very strong assumption that makes it possible to directly pull back a smooth presentation of X to a smooth covering of T and deduce the result by descent. Lurie's methods also work for non-noetherian T.

Brandenburg and Chirvasitu have shown that $\omega_X(T)$ is an equivalence for every quasi-compact and quasi-separated scheme X [BC14], also for non-noetherian T. The key idea of their proof is the tensor localization that we have adapted in Section 5. Using this technique, we give a slightly simplified proof of their theorem in Theorem 5.9.

A variant of a special case of Theorem 1.4 was considered by Fukuyama–Iwanari [FI13, Thm. 5.10]. Indeed, it was shown that if X is a separated Deligne–Mumford stack of finite type over a field k with quasi-projective coarse moduli space over k such that $X = [U/G]$, where U is quasi-projective over k and the linear algebraic group G acts k-linearly on U, then any cocontinuous symmetric monoidal functor $F\colon D(X) \to D(T)$, where $D(S)$ denotes the stable ∞-category of quasi-coherent sheaves on the stack S, arises from a k-morphism of algebraic stacks $f\colon T \to X$. In a recent preprint, Bhatt partially extended the results of Fukuyama–Iwanari to quasi-compact and quasi-separated algebraic spaces [Bha14, Thm. 1.5] and gave several interesting applications.

In general, it is not obvious that a cocontinuous symmetric monoidal functor $F\colon D(X) \to D(T)$ induces a cocontinuous symmetric monoidal functor

$H^0(F)\colon \mathsf{QCoh}(X) \to \mathsf{QCoh}(T)$ (equivalently, F preserves connective complexes). Indeed, for algebraic spaces, this is [Bha14, Lem. 2.6], which requires scallop decompositions and compact generation. These techniques are not available for general stacks with infinite stabilizers [HNR14].

In fact, there are symmetric monoidal functors $F\colon D(B\mathbb{G}_m) \to D(k)$ that do not preserve connective complexes [BZ10]. Thus, the derived results of Bhatt and Fukuyama–Iwanari do not extend to geometric stacks with infinite stabilizers without further assumptions. Bhatt has recently communicated to us that he and Halpern-Leistner can show that if X is noetherian with quasi-affine diagonal and T is arbitrary, then every symmetric monoidal functor $F\colon D(X) \to D(T)$ which preserves pseudo-coherent and connective complexes is representable by a morphism of stacks $f\colon T \to X$. Note, however, that since F is assumed to preserve connective complexes, it induces a symmetric monoidal functor $H^0(F)\colon \mathsf{QCoh}(X) \to \mathsf{QCoh}(T)$. In particular, if T is locally noetherian, then Bhatt–Halpern-Leistner's result follows from our Theorem 1.4.

However, it is not at all obvious that a cocontinuous symmetric monoidal functor $f^*\colon \mathsf{QCoh}(X) \to \mathsf{QCoh}(T)$ is derivable to a cocontinuous symmetric monoidal functor $\mathbb{L}f^*\colon D(X) \to D(T)$. Indeed, this essentially requires that there are sufficiently many acyclics for f^* in $D(X)$. Since $\mathsf{QCoh}(X)$ rarely has enough projectives, this acyclicity condition is non-trivial. In particular, if T is locally noetherian, then our Theorems 1.1 and 1.4 are stronger than any derived result for stacks with infinite stabilizers.

Bhatt's main application [Bha14, Thm. 1.1] is a variant of our Corollary 1.6: X is required to be an algebraic space but A is permitted to be non-noetherian.

In contrast to [FI13, Bha14], our methods are completely underived. The key idea in [Bha14] is to replace vector bundles with perfect complexes which are the dualizable objects in the derived category. Whereas $\mathsf{QCoh}(X)$ is often not (or not known) to be generated by vector bundles, the derived category $D(X)$ is often generated by perfect complexes. In particular, this is true when X is an algebraic space, has quasi-finite diagonal, or is a $\mathbb{Q}$-stack of s-global type [HR14d].

While we are currently unable to establish Theorem 1.4 for all non-noetherian T, in [HR14e] we make some progress towards this goal.

We wish to point out that we do not address the Tannaka *recognition* problem, i.e., which symmetric monoidal categories arise as the category of quasi-coherent sheaves on an algebraic stack. This has been done in characteristic 0 for gerbes [Del90]. Schäppi [Sch14, Thm. 1.4] also characterised those symmetric monoidal categories that are generated by dualizables, which was recently recovered using different methods by Tonini [Ton14]. Similar results from the derived perspective have been considered by Wallbridge [Wal12] and Iwanari [Iwa14].

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Dan Abramovich for asking us whether Theorem 1.2 held, which was the original motivation for this article. We would also like to thank Martin Brandenburg for his many comments on a preliminary draft and for sharing his dissertation with us. In particular, he made us aware of Proposition 3.4, which greatly simplified Corollary 3.5.

Finally, we would like to thank Bhargav Bhatt for several useful comments and suggestions, Jarod Alper for several interesting and supportive discussions, and Andrew Kresch for some encouraging remarks.

2. Symmetric monoidal categories

A *symmetric monoidal category* is the data of a category $\mathbf{C}$, a tensor product $\otimes_{\mathbf{C}}\colon \mathbf{C}\times\mathbf{C}\to\mathbf{C}$, and a unit $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}}$ that together satisfy various naturality, commutativity, and associativity properties [ML98, VII.7].

A symmetric monoidal category $\mathbf{C}$ is *closed* if for any $B\in\mathbf{C}$ the functor $-\otimes_{\mathbf{C}} B\colon \mathbf{C}\to\mathbf{C}$ admits a right adjoint, which we denote as $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbf{C}}(B,-)$.

A functor $F\colon \mathbf{C}\to\mathbf{D}$ between symmetric monoidal categories is *lax symmetric monoidal* if for each C and C' of $\mathbf{C}$ there are natural maps $F(C)\otimes_{\mathbf{D}} F(C')\to F(C\otimes_{\mathbf{C}} C')$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}}\to F(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}})$ that are compatible with the symmetric monoidal structure. If these maps are both isomorphisms, then F is *symmetric monoidal*. Note that if $F\colon \mathbf{C}\to\mathbf{D}$ is a symmetric monoidal functor, then a right adjoint $G\colon \mathbf{D}\to\mathbf{C}$ to F is always lax symmetric monoidal.

If $\mathbf{C}$ is a symmetric monoidal category, then a *commutative* $\mathbf{C}$*-algebra* consists of an object A of $\mathbf{C}$ together with a multiplication $m\colon A\otimes_{\mathbf{C}} A\to A$ and a unit $e_A\colon \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}}\to A$ with the expected properties [ML98, VII.3]. Let $\mathsf{CAlg}(\mathbf{C})$ denote the category of commutative $\mathbf{C}$-algebras. The category $\mathsf{CAlg}(\mathbf{C})$ is naturally endowed with a symmetric monoidal structure that makes the forgetful functor $\mathsf{CAlg}(\mathbf{C})\to\mathbf{C}$ symmetric monoidal.

The following observation will be used frequently: if $F\colon \mathbf{C}\to\mathbf{D}$ is a lax symmetric monoidal functor and A is a commutative $\mathbf{C}$-algebra, then $F(A)$ is a commutative $\mathbf{D}$-algebra.

3. Abelian tensor categories

An *abelian tensor category* is an abelian symmetric monoidal category. A *Grothendieck abelian tensor category* is an abelian tensor category such that the underlying abelian category is Grothendieck abelian and the tensor product is cocontinuous in each variable. By the Special Adjoint Functor Theorem [KS06, Prop. 8.3.27(iii)], if $\mathbf{C}$ is a Grothendieck abelian tensor category, then it is also closed.

A *tensor functor* $F\colon \mathbf{C}\to\mathbf{D}$ is an additive symmetric monoidal functor between abelian tensor categories. Let $\mathbf{GTC}$ be the 2-category of Grothendieck abelian tensor categories and cocontinuous tensor functors. By the Special Adjoint Functor Theorem, if $F\colon \mathbf{C}\to\mathbf{D}$ is a cocontinuous tensor functor, then F admits a (lax symmetric monoidal) right adjoint.

Example 3.1. Let T be a ringed site. The category of $\mathcal{O}_T$-modules $\mathsf{Mod}(T)$ is a Grothendieck abelian tensor category with unit $\mathcal{O}_T$ and the internal Hom is the functor $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_T}(M,-)$.

Example 3.2. Let X be an algebraic stack. The category of quasi-coherent sheaves $\mathsf{QCoh}(X)$ is a Grothendieck abelian tensor category with unit $\mathcal{O}_X$ [Stacks, Tag 0781]. The internal Hom is $\mathrm{QC}(\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(M,-))$, where QC denotes the quasi-coherator (the right adjoint to the inclusion of the category of quasi-coherent sheaves in the category of lisse-étale $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules). If X is

an algebraic stack, then $\mathsf{CAlg}(\mathsf{QCoh}(X))$ is the symmetric monoidal category of quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-algebras.

If $f\colon X \to Y$ is a morphism of algebraic stacks, then the resulting functor $f^*\colon \mathsf{QCoh}(Y) \to \mathsf{QCoh}(X)$ is a cocontinuous tensor functor. If f is flat, then f^* is exact. We always denote the right adjoint of f^* by $f_*\colon \mathsf{QCoh}(X) \to \mathsf{QCoh}(Y)$. If f is quasi-compact and quasi-separated, then f_* coincides with the pushforward of lisse-étale $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules [Ols07b, Lem. 6.5(i)]. In particular, if f is quasi-compact and quasi-separated, then $f_*\colon \mathsf{QCoh}(X) \to \mathsf{QCoh}(Y)$ preserves directed colimits (work smooth-locally on Y and then apply [Stacks, Tag 0738]).

Definition 3.3. Given abelian tensor categories $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{D}$, we let $\mathrm{Hom}_{c\otimes}(\mathbf{C},\mathbf{D})$ (resp. $\mathrm{Hom}_{r\otimes}(\mathbf{C},\mathbf{D})$) denote the category of cocontinuous (resp. right exact) tensor functors and natural transformations (natural with respect to both homomorphisms and the symmetric monoidal structure). We let $\mathrm{Hom}_{c\otimes,\simeq}(\mathbf{C},\mathbf{D})$ (resp. $\mathrm{Hom}_{r\otimes,\simeq}(\mathbf{C},\mathbf{D})$) denote its core, that is, the groupoid of cocontinuous (resp. right exact) tensor functors and natural isomorphisms.

We conclude this section with some useful facts for the paper. We first consider modules over algebras, which are addressed, for example, in Brandenburg's thesis [Bra14, §5.3] in even greater generality.

Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a Grothendieck abelian tensor category and let A be a commutative $\mathbf{C}$-algebra. Define $\mathsf{Mod}_{\mathbf{C}}(A)$ to be the category of A-modules. That is, it has objects pairs (M,a), where $M \in \mathbf{C}$ and $a\colon A \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} M \to M$ is an action of A on M. Morphisms $\phi\colon (M,a) \to (M',a')$ in $\mathsf{Mod}_{\mathbf{C}}(A)$ are those $\mathbf{C}$-morphisms $\phi\colon M \to M'$ that preserve the respective actions. We identify A with $(A,m) \in \mathsf{Mod}_{\mathbf{C}}(A)$ where $m\colon A \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} A \to A$ is the multiplication. It is straightforward to show that $\mathsf{Mod}_{\mathbf{C}}(A)$ is a Grothendieck abelian tensor category, with tensor product $\otimes_A$ and unit A, and the natural forgetful functor $\mathsf{Mod}_{\mathbf{C}}(A) \to \mathbf{C}$ preserves all limits and colimits [KS06, §4.3].

If $s\colon A \to B$ is a $\mathbf{C}$-algebra homomorphism, then there is a natural cocontinuous tensor functor:

$$s^*\colon \mathsf{Mod}_{\mathbf{C}}(A) \to \mathsf{Mod}_{\mathbf{C}}(B), \quad (M,a) \mapsto (B \otimes_A M, B \otimes_A a).$$

Also, let $f^*\colon \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{D}$ be a cocontinuous tensor functor with right adjoint $f_*\colon \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{C}$. If A is a commutative $\mathbf{C}$-algebra, then there is a naturally induced cocontinuous tensor functor:

$$f_A^*\colon \mathsf{Mod}_{\mathbf{C}}(A) \to \mathsf{Mod}_{\mathbf{D}}(f^*A), \quad (M,a) \mapsto (f^*M, f^*a).$$

Noting that $\eta : f^*f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}}$ is a $\mathbf{D}$-algebra homomorphism, there is a naturally induced cocontinuous tensor functor:

$$\bar{f}^*\colon \mathsf{Mod}_{\mathbf{C}}(f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}}) \xrightarrow{f^*_{f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}}}} \mathsf{Mod}_{\mathbf{D}}(f^*f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}}) \xrightarrow{\eta^*} \mathsf{Mod}_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}}) = \mathbf{D}.$$

Moreover, if we let $\epsilon : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}} \to f_*f^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}} = f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}}$ denote the unit, then $f^* = \bar{f}^*\epsilon^*$. We have the following striking characterization of module categories [Bra14, Prop. 5.3.1].

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a Grothendieck abelian tensor category and let A be a commutative algebra in $\mathbf{C}$. Then for every Grothendieck abelian tensor*

category $\mathbf{D}$*, there is an equivalence of categories*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Hom}_{c\otimes}(\mathsf{Mod}_{\mathbf{C}}(A),\mathbf{D}) \simeq \{(F,h)\colon\ & F\in \mathrm{Hom}_{c\otimes}(\mathbf{C},\mathbf{D}),\\ & h\in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{CAlg}(\mathbf{D})}(F(A),\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})\},\end{aligned}$$

where a morphism $(F,h)\to(F',h')$ *is a natural transformation* $\alpha\colon F\to F'$ *such that* $h=h'\circ\alpha(A)$*.*

The following corollary is immediate (see [Bra14, Cor. 5.3.7]).

Corollary 3.5. *Let* $p\colon Y'\to Y$ *be an affine morphism of algebraic stacks. Let* X *be an algebraic stack and let* $g^*\colon \mathsf{QCoh}(Y)\to\mathsf{QCoh}(X)$ *be a cocontinuous tensor functor. If* X' *is the affine* X*-scheme* $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{pec}_X(g^*p_*\mathcal{O}_{Y'})$ *with structure morphism* $p'\colon X'\to X$*, then there is a* 2*-cocartesian diagram in* **GTC***:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\mathsf{QCoh}(X') & \xleftarrow{g'^*} & \mathsf{QCoh}(Y')\\ {\scriptstyle p'^*}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle p^*}\\ \mathsf{QCoh}(X) & \xleftarrow{g^*} & \mathsf{QCoh}(Y).\end{array}$$

Moreover, the natural transformation $g^*p_*\Rightarrow p'_*g'^*$ *is an isomorphism.*

Note that if g^* is represented by a morphism $g\colon X\to Y$, then $X'\cong X\times_Y Y'$.

Let X be a noetherian algebraic stack and let I be a coherent ideal of $\mathcal{O}_X$. For each integer $n\geq 0$, let $Z_n=V(I^{n+1})$. Let $\mathsf{Coh}(X,I)$ denote the category $\varprojlim_n \mathsf{Coh}(Z_n)$. The arguments of [Stacks, Tag 087X] easily extend to establish the following:

(i) $\mathsf{Coh}(X,I)$ is an abelian tensor category;
(ii) if $p\colon U\to X$ is smooth and quasi-compact, then the restriction $\mathsf{Coh}(X,I)\to\mathsf{Coh}(U,p^*I)$ is an exact tensor functor; and
(iii) exactness in $\mathsf{Coh}(X,I)$ may be checked on a smooth covering of X.

If $\{f_n\}_{n\geq0}\colon\{M_n\}_{n\geq0}\to\{N_n\}_{n\geq0}$ is a morphism in $\mathsf{Coh}(X,I)$, then it is easily determined that $\mathrm{coker}(\{f_n\}_{n\geq0})\cong\{\mathrm{coker}(f_n)\}_{n\geq0}$. Computing $\ker(\{f_n\}_{n\geq0})$ is more involved. We will need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.6. $\mathsf{Coh}(X,I)$ *is the limit of the inverse system of categories* $\{\mathsf{Coh}(Z_n)\}_{n\geq0}$ *in the core of the* 2*-category of abelian tensor categories and right exact tensor functors.*

Proof. It remains to verify that if $F_n\colon\mathbf{C}\to\mathsf{Coh}(Z_n)$ is a compatible sequence of right exact abelian tensor functors, then the induced abelian tensor functor $\varprojlim_n F_n\colon\mathbf{C}\to\mathsf{Coh}(X,I)$ is right exact. The explicit description of cokernels in $\mathsf{Coh}(X,I)$ shows that this is the case. □

4. Tensorial algebraic stacks

Let T and X be algebraic stacks. The induced functor

$$\omega_X(T)\colon\ \mathrm{Hom}(T,X)\to\mathrm{Hom}_{c\otimes}\big(\mathsf{QCoh}(X),\mathsf{QCoh}(T)\big)$$

that takes a morphism f to f^*, factors canonically through a functor

$$\omega_X^\circ(T)\colon\ \mathrm{Hom}(T,X)\to\mathrm{Hom}_{c\otimes,\simeq}\big(\mathsf{QCoh}(X),\mathsf{QCoh}(T)\big).$$

As noted in the introduction, since $\mathsf{QCoh}(-)$ is a stack in the fpqc topology, the right hand side of both functors are stacks in the fpqc topology when varying T—for an elaborate proof of this, see [LT12, Thm. 1.1]. Also, the left hand side is a stack for the fppf topology—even the fpqc topology when X has quasi-affine diagonal [LMB, Cor. 10.7].

Definition 4.1. Let T and X be algebraic stacks. Then a tensor functor $f^*\colon \mathsf{QCoh}(X) \to \mathsf{QCoh}(T)$ is *representable* if it arises from a morphism of algebraic stacks $f\colon T \to X$. If $f, g\colon T \to X$ are morphisms, then a natural transformation $\tau\colon f^* \Rightarrow g^*$ of tensor functors is *realizable* if it is induced by a 2-morphism $f \Rightarrow g$. We say that X is *tensorial* if $\omega_X(T)$ is an equivalence for every algebraic stack T, or equivalently, for every affine scheme T [Bra14, Def. 3.4.4].

If X is a quasi-compact algebraic stack with affine diagonal, then, as remarked in the introduction, $\omega_X(T)$ has essential image the cocontinuous tensor functors that are *tame* [Lur04]. We wish to point out that the notion of a tame tensor functor is quite subtle, particularly for stacks with non-affine diagonal [Lur04, Rmk. 5.12].

We begin by recalling two basic lemmas on tensorial stacks. The first is the combination of [Bra14, Cor. 5.3.4 & 5.6.4].

Lemma 4.2. *Let $q\colon X' \to X$ be a quasi-affine morphism of algebraic stacks. If T is an algebraic stack and $\omega_X(T)$ is faithful, fully faithful or an equivalence; then so is $\omega_{X'}(T)$. In particular, if X is tensorial, then so is X'.*

Proof. Since q is the composition of a quasi-compact open immersion followed by an affine morphism, it suffices to treat these two cases separately. When q is affine the result is an easy consequence of Proposition 3.4. If q is a quasi-compact open immersion, then the counit $q^*q_* \to \mathrm{id}_{\mathsf{QCoh}(X')}$ is an isomorphism; the result now follows from [BC14, Prop. 2.3.6]. □

The second lemma is well-known (e.g., it is a very special case of [BC14, Thm. 3.4.2]).

Lemma 4.3. *Every quasi-affine scheme is tensorial.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.2, it is sufficient to prove that $X = \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$ is tensorial, which is well-known. We refer the interested reader to [BC14, Cor. 2.2.4] or [Bra14, Cor. 5.2.3]. □

Lacking an answer to Question 1.9 in general, we are forced to make the following definition to treat natural transformations that are not isomorphisms.

Definition 4.4. An algebraic stack X is *affine-pointed* if every morphism $\operatorname{Spec} k \to X$, where k is a field, is affine.

Note that if X is affine-pointed, then it has affine stabilizers. The following lemma shows that many algebraic stacks with affine stabilizers that are encountered in practice are affine-pointed.

Lemma 4.5. *Let X be an algebraic stack.*

(i) *If X has quasi-affine diagonal, then X is affine-pointed.*

(ii) *Let $g\colon V \to X$ be a quasi-finite and faithfully flat morphism of finite presentation (not necessarily representable). If V is affine-pointed, then X is affine-pointed.*

Proof. Throughout, we fix a field k and a morphism $x\colon \operatorname{Spec} k \to X$.

For (i), since k is a field, every extension in $\mathsf{QCoh}(\operatorname{Spec} k)$ is split; thus x_* is cohomologically affine [Alp13, Def. 3.1]. Since X has quasi-affine diagonal, this property is preserved after pulling back x along a smooth morphism $p\colon U \to X$, where U is an affine scheme [Alp13, Prop. 3.9(vii)]. By Serre's Criterion [EGA, II.5.2.1, IV.1.7.17–18], the morphism $\operatorname{Spec} k \times_X U \to U$ is affine; and this case follows.

For (ii), the pullback of g along x gives a quasi-finite and faithfully flat morphism $g_0\colon V_0 \to \operatorname{Spec} k$. Since V_0 is discrete with finite stabilizers, there exists a finite surjective morphism $W_0 \to V_0$ where W_0 is a finite disjoint union of spectra of fields. By assumption $W_0 \to V_0 \to V$ is affine; hence so is $V_0 \to V$ (by Chevalley's Theorem [Ryd15, Thm. 8.1] applied smooth-locally on V). By descent, $\operatorname{Spec} k \to X$ is affine and the result follows. □

The following lemma highlights the benefits of affine-pointed stacks.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $f_1,\ f_2\colon T \to X$ be morphisms of algebraic stacks and let $\gamma\colon f_1^* \Rightarrow f_2^*$ be a natural transformation of cocontinuous tensor functors. If X is affine-pointed, then the induced maps of topological spaces $|f_1|$, $|f_2|\colon |T| \to |X|$ coincide.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that if $T = \operatorname{Spec} k$, where k is a field, then γ is realizable. Since X is affine-pointed, the morphisms f_1 and f_2 are affine. Also, the natural transformation γ induces, by adjunction, a morphism of quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-algebras $\gamma^\vee(\mathcal{O}_T)\colon (f_2)_*\mathcal{O}_T \to (f_1)_*\mathcal{O}_T$. In particular, $\gamma^\vee(\mathcal{O}_T)$ induces a morphism $v\colon T \to T$ over X. We are now free to replace X by T, f_2 by id_T, and f_1 by v. Since T is affine, the result now follows from Lemma 4.3. □

We can now prove the following proposition (generalizing Lurie's corresponding result for an algebraic stack with affine diagonal).

Proposition 4.7. *Let X be an algebraic stack.*

(i) *Let T be a quasi-affine scheme and let $f_1,\ f_2\colon T \to X$ be quasi-affine morphisms.*
   (a) *If $\alpha,\ \beta\colon f_1 \Rightarrow f_2$ are 2-morphisms and $\alpha^* = \beta^*$ as natural transformations $f_1^* \Rightarrow f_2^*$, then $\alpha = \beta$.*
   (b) *Let $\gamma\colon f_1^* \Rightarrow f_2^*$ be a natural transformation of cocontinuous tensor functors. If γ is an isomorphism or X is affine-pointed, then γ is realizable.*

(ii) *If T is an algebraic stack and X has quasi-affine diagonal, then the functor $\omega_X(T)$ is fully faithful.*

Proof. For (ii), we may assume that T is an affine scheme. Then every morphism $T \to X$ is quasi-affine and the result follows by (i) and Lemma 4.5(i).

For (i), there are quasi-compact open immersions $i_k\colon T \hookrightarrow V_k$ over X, where $V_k := \mathcal{S}\mathrm{pec}_X((f_k)_*\mathcal{O}_T)$ and $k = 1, 2$. Let $v_k\colon V_k \to X$ be the induced 1-morphism.

We first treat (i)(a). The hypotheses imply that $\alpha_* = \beta_*$ as natural isomorphisms of functors from $(f_2)_*$ to $(f_1)_*$. In particular, α_* and β_* induce the same 1-morphism from V_1 to V_2 over X. Since i_1 and i_2 are open immersions, they are monomorphisms; hence $\alpha = \beta$.

We now treat (i)(b). The natural transformation $\gamma\colon f_1^* \Rightarrow f_2^*$ uniquely induces a natural transformation of lax symmetric monoidal functors $\gamma^\vee\colon (f_2)_* \Rightarrow (f_1)_*$. In particular, there is an induced morphism of quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-algebras $\gamma^\vee(\mathcal{O}_T)\colon (f_2)_*\mathcal{O}_T \to (f_1)_*\mathcal{O}_T$; hence a morphism of algebraic stacks $g\colon V_1 \to V_2$ over X. Note that $\gamma^\vee$ uniquely induces a natural transformation of lax symmetric monoidal functors $(i_2)_* \Rightarrow g_*(i_1)_*$, and by adjunction we have a uniquely induced natural transformation of tensor functors $\gamma'\colon (g \circ i_1)^* \Rightarrow i_2^*$.

Replacing X by V_2, f_1 by $g \circ i_1$, f_2 by i_2, and γ by γ', we may assume that f_2 is a quasi-compact open immersion such that $\mathcal{O}_X \to (f_2)_*\mathcal{O}_T$ is an isomorphism.

If γ is an isomorphism, then f_1 is also a quasi-compact open immersion. Let Z_1 and Z_2 denote closed substacks of X whose complements are $f_1(T)$ and $f_2(T)$, respectively. Then $f_1^*\mathcal{O}_{Z_2} \cong f_2^*\mathcal{O}_{Z_2} \cong 0$, so $f_1(T) \subseteq f_2(T)$. Arguing similarly, we obtain the reverse inclusion and we see that $f_1(T) = f_2(T)$. Since f_1 and f_2 are open immersions, we obtain the result when γ is assumed to be an isomorphism.

Otherwise, Lemma 4.6 implies that f_1 factors through $f_2(T) \subseteq X$. We may now replace X by T and γ with $(f_2)_*(\gamma)$ [BC14, Prop. 2.3.6]. Then X is quasi-affine and the result follows from Lemma 4.3. □

From Proposition (i)(b), we obtain an analogue of Lemma 4.6 for natural isomorphisms of functors.

Corollary 4.8. *Let f_1, $f_2\colon T \to X$ be morphisms of algebraic stacks and let $\gamma\colon f_1^* \simeq f_2^*$ be a natural isomorphism of cocontinuous tensor functors. If X has affine stabilizers and quasi-compact diagonal, then the induced maps of topological spaces $|f_1|$, $|f_2|\colon |T| \to |X|$ coincide.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the result when $T = \operatorname{Spec} k$, where k is a field. Since X has affine stabilizers and quasi-compact diagonal the morphisms f_1 and f_2 are quasi-affine [Ryd11b, Thm. B.2]. The result now follows from Proposition (i)(b). □

The following result, in a slightly different context, was proved by Schäppi [Sch12, Thm. 1.3.2]. Using the Gross–Totaro theorem, we can simplify Schäppi's arguments in the algebro-geometric setting.

Theorem 4.9. *Let X be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated algebraic stack with affine stabilizers. If X has the resolution property, then it is tensorial.*

Proof. Let T be an algebraic stack. By Gross–Totaro [Gro13], there is a quasi-affine morphism $g\colon X \to \mathrm{BGL}_{N,\mathbb{Z}}$. By Lemma 4.2, it is enough to consider $X = \mathrm{BGL}_{N,\mathbb{Z}}$. Note that X is quasi-compact with affine diagonal, so the functor $\omega_X(T)$ is fully faithful (Proposition 4.7). It remains to prove that every cocontinuous tensor functor $f^*\colon \mathsf{QCoh}(X) \to \mathsf{QCoh}(T)$ is representable.

Let $p\colon \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{BGL}_{N,\mathbb{Z}}$ be the universal GL_N-bundle and let $\mathcal{A} = p_*\mathbb{Z}$ be the regular representation. There is an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{BGL}_{N,\mathbb{Z}}} \to \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{Q} \to 0$$

of flat quasi-coherent sheaves. Write $\mathcal{A}$ as the directed colimit of its finitely generated subsheaves $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$ containing the unit and let $\mathcal{Q}_\lambda = \mathcal{A}_\lambda/\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{BGL}_{N,\mathbb{Z}}} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$. Then $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$ and $\mathcal{Q}_\lambda$ are vector bundles.

It is well-known that (1) any tensor functor $f^*\colon \mathsf{QCoh}(X) \to \mathsf{QCoh}(T)$ preserves dualizable objects and exact sequences of dualizable objects (for example, see [Bra14, Def. 4.7.1 & Lem. 4.7.10]) and (2) the dualizable objects in $\mathsf{QCoh}(Y)$ are the vector bundles for any algebraic stack Y [Bra14, Prop. 4.7.5]. Since f^* is cocontinuous, we thus have exact sequences

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_T \to f^*\mathcal{A}_\lambda \to f^*\mathcal{Q}_\lambda \to 0$$
$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_T \to f^*\mathcal{A} \to f^*\mathcal{Q} \to 0$$

of vector bundles and flat quasi-coherent sheaves. In particular, $f^*\mathcal{A}$ is a faithfully flat algebra.

Let $V = \mathcal{S}\mathrm{pec}_T(f^*\mathcal{A})$; then $r\colon V \to T$ is faithfully flat. By Corollary 3.5, we have a cocartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{QCoh}(V) & \xleftarrow{f'^*} & \mathsf{QCoh}(\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}) \\ {\scriptstyle r^*}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle p^*} \\ \mathsf{QCoh}(T) & \xleftarrow{f^*} & \mathsf{QCoh}(X). \end{array}$$

Since $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$ is tensorial (Lemma 4.3), the functor f'^* is representable. Thus f'^*p^* is representable and by fpqc descent, f^* is representable. □

5. Tensor localizations

Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a Grothendieck abelian category. A *Serre subcategory* is a full non-empty subcategory $\mathbf{K} \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ closed under taking subquotients and extensions. Serre subcategories are abelian and the inclusion functor is exact. By [Gab62, Ch. III], there is a quotient $\mathbf{Q}$ of $\mathbf{C}$ by $\mathbf{K}$ and an exact functor $q^*\colon \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{Q}$, which is universal for exact functors out of $\mathbf{C}$ that vanish on $\mathbf{K}$. The quotient $q^*\colon \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{Q}$ is a *localization* if q^* admits a right adjoint $q_*\colon \mathbf{Q} \to \mathbf{C}$. It follows that q_* is fully faithful and that $q^*q_* \simeq \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{Q}}$.

Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a Grothendieck abelian tensor category. A subcategory $\mathbf{K} \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ is a *tensor ideal* if $\mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{K}$ is a localization and $\mathbf{K}$ is closed under tensor products with objects in $\mathbf{C}$. If $q^*\colon \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{Q}$ is an exact cocontinuous tensor functor, then $\ker(q^*)$ is a tensor ideal. Conversely, if $\mathbf{K} \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ is a tensor ideal, then the quotient $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{K}$ is a Grothendieck abelian tensor category, the functor $q^*\colon \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{Q}$ is an exact cocontinuous tensor functor and $\ker(q^*) = \mathbf{K}$. We say that q^* is a *tensor localization*.

Example 5.1. Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a morphism of algebraic stacks. If f is flat, then f^* is exact. If f is a quasi-compact flat monomorphism (e.g., a quasi-compact open immersion), then $\mathsf{QCoh}(X)$ is the quotient of $\mathsf{QCoh}(Y)$ by $\ker(f^*)$. This follows from the fact that the counit $f^*f_* \to \mathrm{id}$ is an isomorphism so that f_* is a section of f^* [Gab62, Prop. III.2.5].

Definition 5.2. Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a Grothendieck abelian tensor category. For $M \in \mathbf{C}$ let $\varphi_M \colon \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}} \to \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbf{C}}(M, M)$ denote the adjoint to the canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}} \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} M \to M$. Let the annihilator $\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbf{C}}(M)$ of M be the kernel of φ_M, which we consider as an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}}$.

Example 5.3. Let X be an algebraic stack and let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathsf{QCoh}(X)$. Then $\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathsf{QCoh}(X)}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathrm{QC}\big(\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathsf{Mod}(X)}(\mathcal{F})\big)$. In particular, if $\mathcal{F}$ is of finite type, then $\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathsf{QCoh}(X)}(\mathcal{F}) = \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathsf{Mod}(X)}(\mathcal{F})$.

Recall that an object $c \in \mathbf{C}$ is *finitely generated* if the natural map:

$$\varinjlim_{\lambda} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(c, d_\lambda) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(c, \varinjlim_{\lambda} d_\lambda)$$

is bijective for every direct system $\{d_\lambda\}_\lambda$ in $\mathbf{C}$ with monomorphic bonding maps. A category $\mathbf{C}$ is *locally finitely generated* if it is cocomplete and has a set $\mathcal{A}$ of finitely generated objects such that every object c of $\mathbf{C}$ is a directed colimit of objects from $\mathcal{A}$.

Example 5.4. Let X be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated algebraic stack. The finitely generated objects in $\mathsf{QCoh}(X)$ are the quasi-coherent sheaves of finite type. Thus $\mathsf{QCoh}(X)$ is locally finitely generated [Ryd14].

We also require the following definition.

Definition 5.5. Let $q^* \colon \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{Q}$ be a tensor localization. Then it is *supported* if $q^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}}/\operatorname{Ann}(K)) \cong 0$ for every finitely generated object K of $\mathbf{C}$ such that $q^*(K) \cong 0$.

The notion of a supported tensor localization is very natural.

Example 5.6. If $f \colon X \to Y$ is a quasi-compact and flat monomorphism of algebraic stacks, then the tensor localization $f^* \colon \mathsf{QCoh}(Y) \to \mathsf{QCoh}(X)$ of Example 5.1 is supported. Indeed, if M is a quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_Y$-module of finite type in the kernel of f^*, then $f^* \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathsf{QCoh}(Y)}(M) = \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathsf{QCoh}(X)}(f^*M) = \mathcal{O}_X$.

We have the following crucial theorem generalizing [BC14, Lem. 3.3.6].

Theorem 5.7. *Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a locally finitely generated Grothendieck abelian tensor category and let $q^* \colon \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{Q}$ be a supported tensor localization. If $f^* \colon \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{D}$ is a cocontinuous tensor functor such that $f^*(K) \cong 0$ for every finitely generated object K of $\mathbf{C}$ such that $q^*(K) \cong 0$, then f^* factors essentially uniquely through $\mathbf{Q}$.*

Note that Theorem 5.7 is trivial if f^* is exact. The challenge is to use the symmetric monoidal structure to deduce this also when f^* is merely right-exact. The proof we give is a straightforward generalization of [BC14, Lem. 3.3.6]. To prove Theorem 5.7 we require the following lemma.

Lemma 5.8 ([BC14, Lem. 3.3.2])**.** *Let $f^* \colon \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{D}$ be a cocontinuous tensor functor. If $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}}$-ideal such that $f^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}}/I) \cong 0$, then $f^*(I) \to f^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}})$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Since f^* is right-exact and $f^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}}/I) = 0$, it follows that $f^*(I) \to f^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}}$ is surjective. Let $J = f^*(I)$ and $K = \ker(J \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})$.

The surjection $J \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}}$ gives an injective homomorphism

$$J \cong \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}}, J) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbf{D}}(J, J).$$

This homomorphism is the adjoint of the composition $g\colon J \otimes J \to J \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}} \cong J$. Since the multiplication homomorphism $m\colon I \otimes I \to I$ factors as $I \otimes I \to I \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}} \to I$, it follows that $g = f^*(m)$. The multiplication map also factors as $I \otimes I \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}} \otimes I \to I$ which shows that the composition of $K \otimes J \to J \otimes J$ and g is zero. It follows that its adjoint

$$K \hookrightarrow J \cong \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}}, J) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}}}(J, J)$$

is zero so $K = 0$. □

Proof of Theorem 5.7. If $K \in \mathbf{C}$, since $\mathbf{C}$ is locally finitely generated, it may be written as a directed colimit $K = \varinjlim_\lambda K_\lambda$, where $K_\lambda \subseteq K$ and K_λ is finitely generated. If $K \in \ker(q^*)$, then $q^*K_\lambda \subseteq q^*K \cong 0$. In particular, $\mathbf{K} := \ker(q^*) \subseteq \ker(f^*)$. Let $0 \to K \to M \to N \to Q \to 0$ be an exact sequence in $\mathbf{C}$ with $K, Q \in \mathbf{K}$. We have to prove that $f^*(M \to N)$ is an isomorphism in $\mathbf{D}$. Let N_0 be the image of M in N. By right-exactness, we have an exact sequence $f^*(K) \to f^*(M) \to f^*(N_0) \to 0$. Since $f^*(K) = 0$, we have that $f^*(M) = f^*(N_0)$. We may thus replace M with N_0 and assume that $K = 0$ and $M \to N$ is injective.

Write N as the directed colimit of finitely generated subobjects $N^\circ_\lambda \subseteq N$. Let $N_\lambda = M + N^\circ_\lambda \subseteq N$ and $I_\lambda = \operatorname{Ann}(N_\lambda/M)$. By definition, we have that $I_\lambda \otimes N_\lambda/M \to N_\lambda/M$ is zero; hence $I_\lambda \otimes N_\lambda \to N_\lambda$ factors through M.

Note that $N_\lambda/M = N^\circ_\lambda/(N^\circ_\lambda \cap M)$ is a quotient of a finitely generated object and a subobject of Q, so $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}}/I_\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$ since q^* is supported. We conclude that $f^*(I_\lambda) \to f^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}})$ is an isomorphism using Lemma 5.8. Now consider the commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I_\lambda \otimes M & \longrightarrow & M \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ I_\lambda \otimes N_\lambda & \longrightarrow & N_\lambda \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} f^*(M) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & f^*(M) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ f^*(N_\lambda) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & f^*(N_\lambda), \end{array}$$

where the right diagram is obtained by applying f^* to the left diagram. It follows that $f^*(M) \to f^*(N_\lambda)$ is an isomorphism. Since f^* is cocontinuous, it follows that $f^*(M) \to f^*(N) = \varinjlim f^*(N_\lambda)$ is an isomorphism. □

To show how powerful tensor localization is, we can quickly prove that tensoriality is local for the Zariski topology—even for stacks.

Theorem 5.9. *Let X be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated algebraic stack. Let $X = \bigcup_{k=1}^n X_k$ be an open covering by quasi-compact open substacks. If every X_k is tensorial, then so is X.*

Proof. Let $i_k\colon X_k \to X$ denote the open immersion and let I_k be an ideal of finite type defining a closed substack complementary to X_k [Ryd14, Prop. 7.2].

Let T be a scheme. First we will show that $\omega_X(T)$ is fully faithful. Thus, let $f, g\colon T \to X$ be two morphisms and suppose that we are given a natural transformation of cocontinuous tensor functors $\gamma\colon f^* \Rightarrow g^*$. Then $f^*(\mathcal{O}_X/I_k) \twoheadrightarrow g^*(\mathcal{O}_X/I_k)$ so there is an inclusion $f^{-1}(X_k) \subseteq g^{-1}(X_k)$ for

every k. Let $T_k = f^{-1}(X_k)$, let $j_k\colon T_k \to T$ denote the corresponding open immersion and let $f_k, g_k\colon T_k \to X_k$ denote the restrictions of f and g. Since $(f_k)^* = j_k^* f^*(i_k)_*$ and $(g_k)^* = j_k^* g^*(i_k)_*$, we obtain a natural transformation $\gamma_k\colon f_k^* \Rightarrow g_k^*$, hence a unique 2-isomorphism $f_k \Rightarrow g_k$. Since $T = \bigcup_{k=1}^N T_k$, it follows by fppf-descent that $\omega_X(T)$ is fully faithful.

For essential surjectivity, let $f^*\colon \mathsf{QCoh}(X) \to \mathsf{QCoh}(T)$ be a cocontinuous tensor functor. The surjection $\mathcal{O}_T \twoheadrightarrow f^*(\mathcal{O}_X/I_k)$ defines a closed subscheme and we let $j_k\colon T_k \to T$ denote its open complement. If $K \in \mathsf{QCoh}(X)$ is a finitely generated object such that $i_k^* K \cong 0$, then $I_k^m K = 0$ for sufficiently large m. Thus $j_k^* f^* K = 0$. Since $i_k^*\colon \mathsf{QCoh}(X) \to \mathsf{QCoh}(X_k)$ is a supported localization (Example 5.6), we may apply Theorem 5.7 and deduce that $j_k^* f^*$ factors via i_k^* and a tensor functor $f_k^*\colon \mathsf{QCoh}(X_k) \to \mathsf{QCoh}(T_k)$. The latter is representable by assumption, hence so is $j_k^* f^* = f_k^* i_k^*$.

Finally, since $\mathcal{O}_X/I_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{O}_X/I_n = 0$, it follows that $f^*(\mathcal{O}_X/I_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes f^*(\mathcal{O}_X/I_n) = 0$ so $T = \bigcup_{k=1}^n T_k$ is an open covering. We conclude that f is representable by fppf descent. □

Combining Theorem 5.9 with Lemma 4.3 we obtain a short proof of the main result of [BC14].

Corollary 5.10 (Brandenburg–Chirvasitu)**.** *Every quasi-compact and quasi-separated scheme is tensorial.*

6. The Main Lemma

The main result of this section is the following technical lemma, which proves that the tensorial property extends over nilpotent thickenings of quasi-compact algebraic stacks with affine stabilizers having the resolution property.

Lemma 6.1 (Main Lemma)**.** *Let $i\colon X_0 \to X$ be a closed immersion of algebraic stacks defined by a quasi-coherent ideal I such that $I^n = 0$ for some integer $n > 0$. Suppose that X_0 is quasi-compact and quasi-separated with affine stabilizers. If X_0 has the resolution property, then X is tensorial.*

We have another lemma that will be crucial for proving Lemma 6.1.

Lemma 6.2. *Consider a 2-cocartesian diagram of algebraic stacks:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_0 & \xhookrightarrow{i} & U \\ {\scriptstyle p_0}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p} \\ X_0 & \xhookrightarrow{j} & X, \end{array}$$

such that the following conditions are satisfied.

(i) *i is a nilpotent closed immersion;*
(ii) *U_0 is an affine scheme; and*
(iii) *X_0 is quasi-compact and quasi-separated with affine stabilizers.*

If X_0 has the resolution property, then so has X.

Proof. Note that X_0 has affine diagonal by the Gross–Totaro theorem; hence p_0 is affine. By [Hal14b, Prop. A.2], the square is a geometric pushout. In particular, j is a nilpotent closed immersion, p is affine, and the natural

map $\mathcal{O}_X \to p_*\mathcal{O}_U \times_{p_*i_*\mathcal{O}_{U_0}} j_*\mathcal{O}_{X_0}$ is an isomorphism. By the Gross–Totaro Theorem [Gro13, Cor. 5.9], there exists a vector bundle V_0 on X_0 such that the total space of the frame bundle of V_0 is quasi-affine. Let $E_0 = p_0^*V_0$; then, since U_0 is affine, there exists a vector bundle E on U equipped with an isomorphism $\alpha\colon i^*E \to E_0$. Let V be the quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-module $p_*E \times_\alpha j_*V_0$. By [Fer03, Thm. 2.2(iv)], V is a vector bundle on X and there is an isomorphism $j^*V \cong V_0$. By [Gro13, Prop. 5.7], it follows that X has the resolution property. □

Proof of Lemma 6.1. We observe at the outset that the Gross–Totaro Theorem [Gro13, Cor. 5.9] implies that X_0 has affine diagonal; thus, X has affine diagonal. Thus, by Proposition 4.7, it suffices to prove that if T is an affine scheme and $f^*\colon \mathsf{QCoh}(X) \to \mathsf{QCoh}(T)$ is a cocontinuous tensor functor, then there exists an étale and surjective morphism $c\colon T' \to T$ such that c^*f^* is representable.

By Corollary 3.5, there is a 2-cocartesian diagram in **GTC**

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{QCoh}(T_0) & \xleftarrow{f_0^*} & \mathsf{QCoh}(X_0) \\ {\scriptstyle j^*}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle i^*} \\ \mathsf{QCoh}(T) & \xleftarrow{f^*} & \mathsf{QCoh}(X), \end{array}$$

where $j\colon T_0 \to T$ is the closed immersion defined by the image J of f^*I in $\mathcal{O}_T$. In particular, j is a nilpotent closed immersion. Since X_0 has the resolution property, f_0^* is representable by a morphism of algebraic stacks $f_0\colon T_0 \to X_0$ (Theorem 4.9).

Let $p\colon U \to X$ be a smooth and surjective morphism, where U is an affine scheme; then, p is affine. The pullback of p along the morphism $i \circ f_0\colon T_0 \to X$ results in a smooth and affine surjective morphism of schemes $q_0\colon V_0 \to T_0$. By [EGA, IV.17.16.3(ii)], there exists an affine étale and surjective morphism $c_0\colon T_0' \to T_0$ such that the pullback $q_0'\colon V_0' \to T_0'$ of q_0 to T_0' admits a section. By [EGA, IV.18.1.2], there exists a unique affine étale morphism $c\colon T' \to T$ lifting $c_0\colon T_0' \to T_0$. After replacing T with T' and f^* with c^*f^*, we may thus assume that q_0 admits a section.

Let $X' = \mathcal{S}\mathrm{pec}_X(f_*\mathcal{O}_T)$. Let $I' = I(f_*\mathcal{O}_T)$ be the $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$-ideal generated by I and let $X_0' = V(I')$. Then X' is a quasi-compact stack with affine diagonal, $X_0' \to X'$ is a closed immersion defined by an ideal whose nth power vanishes and X_0' has the resolution property. Let $f'^* = \bar{f}^*\colon \mathsf{QCoh}(X') \to \mathsf{QCoh}(T)$ be the resulting tensor functor.

Since f' is right-exact, it follows that $J = \mathrm{im}(f'^*I' \to \mathcal{O}_T)$. Also, $I' \subseteq f'_*J \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{X'}$. Thus $V(f'_*J) \subseteq X_0'$, so has the resolution property. Note that $f'^*I' \to f'^*f'_*J \to J$ is surjective. Since f'_* is lax symmetric monoidal, for each integer $k \geq 1$ the morphism $(f'_*J)^{\otimes k} \to \mathcal{O}_{X'}$ factors through $f'_*(J^{\otimes k}) \to \mathcal{O}_{X'}$. In particular, $(f'_*J^k)^2 \subseteq f'_*(J^{k+1})$ and $(f'_*J)^n = 0$.

We may thus replace X by X', X_0 by $V(f'_*J)$, f^* by f'^*, I by f_*J and assume henceforth that

(i) $\mathcal{O}_X \to f_*\mathcal{O}_T$ is an isomorphism,
(ii) $I = f_*J$ for some $\mathcal{O}_T$-ideal J with $J^n = 0$,
(iii) $f_*(J^l)^2 \subseteq f_*(J^{l+1})$ for each integer $l \geq 1$, and

(iv) $q_0\colon V_0 \to T_0$ admits a section.

For each integer $l \geq 0$ let $i_l\colon X_l \to X$ be the closed immersion defined by $f_*(J^{l+1})$ and let $j_l\colon T_l \to T$ be the closed immersion defined by J^{l+1}. Since $f^*f_*(J^{l+1}) \to f^*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_T$ factors through J^{l+1}, it follows that the tensor functor $j_l^*f^*\colon \mathsf{QCoh}(X) \to \mathsf{QCoh}(T_l)$ factors through a tensor functor $f_l^*\colon \mathsf{QCoh}(X_l) \to \mathsf{QCoh}(T_l)$ such that $f_l^*i_l^* \simeq j_l^*f^*$.

We will now prove by induction on $l \geq 0$ that X_l has the resolution property. Since $X_{n-1} = X$, the result will then follow from Theorem 4.9.

By hypothesis, X_0 has the resolution property. Fix an integer $l \geq 0$ and assume that X_l has the resolution property; hence, the tensor functor f_l^* is representable by an affine morphism $f_l\colon T_l \to X_l$. It remains to prove that X_{l+1} has the resolution property.

Claim 1. Let $M \in \mathsf{QCoh}(T)$. If $J^{l+1}M = 0$, then the natural morphism $f^*f_*M \to M$ is surjective.

Proof of Claim 1. By hypothesis, the adjunction morphism $M \to (j_l)_*j_l^*M$ is an isomorphism. Since f_l is an affine morphism, $f_l^*(f_l)_*j_l^*M \to j_l^*M$ is surjective. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} f^*f_*M \cong f^*f_*(j_l)_*j_l^*M &\cong f^*(i_l)_*(f_l)_*j_l^*M \\ &\cong (j_l)_*f_l^*(f_l)_*j_l^*M \twoheadrightarrow (j_l)_*j_l^*M \cong M, \end{aligned}$$

and the claim follows. $\triangle$

Claim 2. Let $M \in \mathsf{QCoh}(T)$ and let Q be a quotient of f_*M. If $J^{l+1}M = 0$, then the natural morphism $Q \to p_*p^*Q$ is split injective.

Proof of Claim 2. Form the 2-cartesian diagram of algebraic stacks

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} V_0 & \longrightarrow & V_l & \xrightarrow{g_l} & U_l & \xrightarrow{u_l} & U \\ {\scriptstyle q_0}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle q_l}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle p_l}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p} \\ T_0 & \longrightarrow & T_l & \xrightarrow{f_l} & X_l & \xrightarrow{i_l} & X. \end{array}$$

By construction, q_0 admits a section. Since q_l is smooth and T_l is affine, the section that q_0 admits lifts to a section of q_l. It follows that the morphism $\mathcal{O}_{T_l} \to (q_l)_*\mathcal{O}_{V_l}$ is split injective. Pushing this morphism forward along $i_l \circ f_l$ we obtain a split injective morphism $(i_l)_*(f_l)_*\mathcal{O}_{T_l} \to (i_l)_*(f_l)_*(q_l)_*\mathcal{O}_{V_l}$.

Now $(i_l)_*(f_l)_*j_l^*M \cong f_*M$ and so Q is a $(i_l)_*(f_l)_*\mathcal{O}_{T_l}$-module. Applying the functor $Q \otimes_{(i_l)_*(f_l)_*\mathcal{O}_{T_l}} -$ to the split injective morphism above, we obtain a split injective morphism:

$$v\colon Q \to Q \otimes_{(i_l)_*(f_l)_*\mathcal{O}_{T_l}} (i_l)_*(f_l)_*(q_l)_*\mathcal{O}_{V_l}.$$

By functoriality, the morphism $\mathcal{O}_U \to (u_l)_*(g_l)_*\mathcal{O}_{V_l}$ induces a natural $\mathcal{O}_X$-module homomorphism $p_*\mathcal{O}_U \to (i_l)_*(f_l)_*(q_l)_*\mathcal{O}_{V_l}$. There is also a natural morphism $\mathcal{O}_X \to (i_l)_*(f_l)_*\mathcal{O}_{T_l}$ and thus there is an induced homomorphism

$$p_*p^*Q \cong Q \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} p_*\mathcal{O}_U \to Q \otimes_{(i_l)_*(f_l)_*\mathcal{O}_{T_l}} (i_l)_*(f_l)_*(q_l)_*\mathcal{O}_{V_l}$$

such that the pre-composition with $Q \to p_*p^*Q$ coincides with v. Since v is split, it follows that $Q \to p_*p^*Q$ is split. $\triangle$

Let $Q_l = f_*(J^{l+1})/f_*(J^{l+2})$. By (iii), X_{l+1} is a square zero extension of X_l by Q_l. Retaining the notation of Claim 2, there is a 2-commutative diagram of algebraic stacks:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_l & \longrightarrow & U_{l+1} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_l} & & \downarrow \\ X_l & \longrightarrow & \tilde{X}_{l+1} \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & X_{l+1}, \end{array}$$

where both the inner and outer squares are 2-cartesian and the inner square is 2-cocartesian. The morphism $X_l \to \tilde{X}_{l+1}$ is a square zero extension of X_l by $(p_l)_*p_l^*Q_l$ and the morphism $\tilde{X}_{l+1} \to X_{l+1}$ is the morphism of X_l-extensions given by the natural map $Q_l \to (p_l)_*p_l^*Q_l$. By Claim 2, the morphism $Q_l \to (p_l)_*p_l^*Q_l$ is split injective and so there is an induced splitting $X_{l+1} \to \tilde{X}_{l+1}$ which is affine. By [Gro13, Prop. 4.3(i)], it remains to prove that $\tilde{X}_{l+1}$ has the resolution property, which follows from Lemma 6.2. □

7. Formal gluings

Let S be an algebraic space, let $Z \hookrightarrow S$ be a finitely presented closed immersion and let $U = S \setminus Z$ denote its complement. A *flat Mayer–Vietoris square* is a cartesian square of algebraic spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U' & \longrightarrow & S' \\ {\scriptstyle f_U}\downarrow & \square & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ U & \longrightarrow & S \end{array}$$

such that f is flat and $f|_Z$ is an isomorphism [HR14d, App.]. If $F\colon \mathbf{Sch}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathbf{Cat}$ is a fibered category, then there is a natural functor:

$$\Phi_F\colon F(S) \to F(S') \times_{F(U')} F(U).$$

The following theorem is a reformulation of the main results of [MB96].

Theorem 7.1. *Consider a flat Mayer–Vietoris square and assume that $f\colon S' \to S$ is affine. Let X be an algebraic stack and consider the fibered category $X_\otimes(-) = \mathrm{Hom}_\otimes(\mathsf{QCoh}(X), \mathsf{QCoh}(-))$ on the category of schemes.*

(i) *$\Phi_{X_\otimes}$ is an equivalence of categories;*
(ii) *Φ_X is fully faithful;*
(iii) *Φ_X is an equivalence if Δ_X is quasi-affine; and*
(iv) *Φ_X is an equivalence if S is locally excellent, S' is noetherian and Δ_X is quasi-separated.*

Proof. By [MB96, 0.3] (or [FR70, App.]), there is an equivalence

$$\mathsf{QCoh}(S) \to \mathsf{QCoh}(S') \times_{\mathsf{QCoh}(U')} \mathsf{QCoh}(U).$$

Thus we have (i). The claims (ii), (iii), and (iv), follow immediately from [MB96, 6.5.1] and Corollary B.2. □

Remark 7.2. Recall that a noetherian ring A is *excellent* [Mat89, p. 260], [Mat80, Ch. 13] or [EGA, IV.7.8.2], if

(i) A is a G-ring, that is, $A_p \to \widehat{A_p}$ has geometrically regular fibers;
(ii) the regular locus $\operatorname{Reg} B \subseteq \operatorname{Spec} B$ is open for every finitely generated A-algebra B; and
(iii) A is universally catenary.

If (i) and (ii) hold, then we say that A is *quasi-excellent*. All excellency assumptions originate from Corollary B.2 via Theorem 7.1. It is used to guarantee that the formal fibers are geometrically regular so that Néron–Popescu desingularization applies. We can thus replace "locally excellent" with "locally the spectrum of a G-ring". Note that whereas being a G-ring and being quasi-excellent are local for the smooth topology [Mat89, 32.2], excellency does not descend even for finite étale coverings [EGA, IV.18.7.7].

Corollary 7.3. *Let X be an algebraic stack. Let A be a ring and let $I \subset A$ be a finitely generated ideal. Let $S = \operatorname{Spec}(A)$, $Z_n = V(I^{n+1})$ and $U = S \setminus Z_0$. Let $j_n \colon Z_n \to S$ and $i \colon U \to S$ be the resulting immersions.*

(i) *Let f_1, $f_2 \colon S \to X$ be morphisms of algebraic stacks.*
  (a) *Assume that $\ker(\mathcal{O}_S \to i_*\mathcal{O}_U) \cap \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} I^n = 0$. Let α, $\beta \colon f_1 \Rightarrow f_2$ be 2-morphisms. If $\alpha_U = \beta_U$ and $\alpha_{Z_n} = \beta_{Z_n}$ for all n, then $\alpha = \beta$.*
  (b) *Assume that S is noetherian and that $\omega_X(T)$ is faithful for all noetherian T. Let $t \colon f_1^* \Rightarrow f_2^*$ be a natural transformation of cocontinuous tensor functors. If $i^*(t)$ and $j_n^*(t)$ are realizable for all n, then t is realizable.*
(ii) *Assume either that S is excellent and X has quasi-separated diagonal or that S is noetherian and X has quasi-affine diagonal. Further, assume that $\omega_X^\circ(T)$ is fully faithful for all noetherian T. If $f^* \colon \mathsf{QCoh}(X) \to \mathsf{QCoh}(S)$ is a cocontinuous tensor functor such that i^*f^* and $j_n^*f^*$ are representable for all n, then f^* is representable.*

Proof. First, we show (ii). By assumption, the induced functor $j_n^*f^*$ comes from a morphism $f_n \colon Z_n \to X$. Pick an étale cover $q_0 \colon Z_0' \to Z_0$ such that $f_0 \circ q_0 \colon Z_0' \to X$ has a lift $g_0 \colon Z_0' \to W$, where $p \colon W \to X$ is a smooth morphism and W is affine. After replacing S with an étale cover $S' \to S$, we may assume that $Z_0' = Z_0$ and that f_0 has a lift $g_0 \colon Z_0 \to W$.

Since p is smooth, we may choose compatible lifts $g_n \colon Z_n \to W$ of f_n for all n. Now since W is affine, we have an induced morphism $\hat{g} \colon \hat{S} \to W$, where $\hat{S} = \operatorname{Spec}(\hat{A})$ and $\hat{A}$ denotes the completion of A at the ideal I. Let $\hat{f} = p \circ \hat{g}$. Then $(j_n)^*\hat{f}^* = (j_n)^*f^*$ for all n. Since $\mathsf{Coh}(\hat{S}) = \varprojlim_n \mathsf{Coh}(Z_n)$ (Lemma 3.6), it follows that $\hat{f}^* \simeq \pi^*f^*$ where $\pi \colon \hat{S} \to S$ is the completion morphism. Indeed, this last equivalence may be verified after restricting both sides to the quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules of finite type (Example 5.4) and both sides send quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules of finite type to $\mathsf{Coh}(\hat{S})$. That f^* is representable now follows from Theorem 7.1.

For (i)(b), we proceed similarly. Consider the representable morphism $E \to S$ given by the equalizer of f_1 and f_2. Then 2-isomorphisms between

f_1 and f_2 correspond to S-sections of E. By assumption, we have compatible sections $\tau_U \in E(U)$ and $\tau_n \in E(Z_n)$ for all n. After replacing S with an étale cover, we may assume that τ_0 lifts to E' where $E' \to E$ is étale and E' is an affine scheme. In particular, there are compatible lifts of all the τ_n to E'. Since E' is affine, we get an induced morphism $\hat{S} \to E'$; thus, a morphism $\hat{S} \to E$. Equivalently, we get a 2-isomorphism between $f_1 \circ \pi$ and $f_2 \circ \pi$. The induced 2-isomorphism between $\pi^* f_1^*$ and $\pi^* f_2^*$ equals $\pi^* t$ since it coincides on the truncations. We may now apply Theorem 7.1 to deduce that t is realized by a 2-morphism $\tau\colon f_1 \Rightarrow f_2$.

For (i)(a), we consider the representable morphism $r\colon R \to S$ given by the equalizer of α and β. It suffices to prove that r is an isomorphism. Note that r is automatically a monomorphism and locally of finite presentation. By assumption, there are compatible sections of r over U and Z_n for all n, thus r_U and r_{Z_n} are isomorphisms for all n. By Proposition A.3, r is an isomorphism. □

8. Tannaka duality

In this section, we prove our general Tannaka duality result (Theorem 8.4) and as a consequence also establish Theorems 1.1 and 1.4. To accomplish this, we consider the following refinement of [HR14a, Def. 2.5].

Definition 8.1. Let X be a quasi-compact algebraic stack. A *finitely presented filtration of X* is a sequence of finitely presented closed immersions $\emptyset = X_0 \hookrightarrow X_1 \hookrightarrow X_2 \hookrightarrow \ldots \hookrightarrow X_r \hookrightarrow X$ such that $|X_r| = |X|$. The *strata* of the filtration are the locally closed finitely presented substacks $Y_k := X_k \setminus X_{k-1}$. The nth *infinitesimal neighborhood of X_k* is the finitely presented closed immersion $X_k^{[n]} \hookrightarrow X$ which is given by the ideal I_k^{n+1} where $X_k \hookrightarrow X$ is given by I_k. The nth infinitesimal neighborhood of Y_k is the locally closed finitely presented substack $Y_k^{[n]} := X_k^{[n]} \setminus X_{k-1}$.

Stacks that have affine stabilizers can be stratified into stacks with the resolution property.

Proposition 8.2. *Let X be an algebraic stack. The following are equivalent:*

(i) *X is quasi-compact and quasi-separated with affine stabilizers;*
(ii) *X has a finitely presented filtration (X_k) with strata of the form $Y_k = [U_k/\mathrm{GL}_{N_k}]$ where U_k is quasi-affine.*
(iii) *X has a finitely presented filtration (X_k) with strata Y_k that are quasi-compact with affine diagonal and the resolution property.*

Proof. That (i) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii) is [HR14a, Prop. 2.6(i)]. That (ii) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (iii) is the Gross–Totaro theorem [Gro13]. That (iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i) is straightforward. □

When in addition X is noetherian or has finitely presented inertia, this result is due to Kresch [Kre99, Prop. 3.5.9] and Drinfeld–Gaitsgory [DG13, Prop. 2.3.4]. They construct stratifications by quotient stacks of the form $[V_k/\mathrm{GL}_{N_k}]$, where each V_k is quasi-projective and the action is linear. This implies that the strata have the resolution property. This case is slightly simpler since X can then be stratified into gerbes [Ryd14, Cor. 7.4], something which is not possible in general.

Remark 8.3. In [DG13, Def. 1.1.7], Drinfeld and Gaitsgory introduces the notion of a QCA stack. These are (derived) algebraic stacks that are quasi-compact and quasi-separated with affine stabilizers and finitely presented inertia. The condition on the inertia is presumably only used for [DG13, Prop. 2.3.4] and could be excised using Proposition 8.2.

We now state and prove the main result of the paper.

Theorem 8.4. *Let T and X be algebraic stacks and consider the functors*

$$\omega_X(T)\colon \operatorname{Hom}(T,X) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{c\otimes}(\mathsf{QCoh}(X),\mathsf{QCoh}(T)),$$
$$\omega_X^\circ(T)\colon \operatorname{Hom}(T,X) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{c\otimes,\simeq}(\mathsf{QCoh}(X),\mathsf{QCoh}(T)).$$

Assume that X is quasi-compact and quasi-separated.

(i) *If X has quasi-affine diagonal, then*
  (a) *$\omega_X(T)$ is fully faithful; and*
  (b) *$\omega_X(T)$ is essentially surjective if T is locally noetherian.*

(ii) *If X has affine stabilizers, then*
  (a) *$\omega_X(T)$ is faithful if T is locally noetherian or has no embedded components;*
  (b) *$\omega_X^\circ(T)$ is full if T is locally noetherian;*
  (c) *$\omega_X(T)$ is full if X is affine-pointed and T is locally noetherian.*
  (d) *$\omega_X(T)$ is essentially surjective if T is locally excellent.*

In particular, $\omega_X^\circ(T)$ is an equivalence if X has affine stabilizers and T is locally excellent, and $\omega_X(T)$ is an equivalence if X has quasi-affine diagonal and T is locally noetherian.

Proof. When X has quasi-affine diagonal, we have already seen that $\omega_X(T)$ is fully faithful for all T (Proposition 4.7). This is (i)(a).

Choose a filtration (X_k) with strata (Y_k) as in Proposition 8.2. We will prove the theorem by induction on the number of strata r. If $r = 0$, then $X = \emptyset$ and there is nothing to prove. If $r \geq 1$, then $V := X \setminus X_1$ has a filtration of length $r-1$; thus by induction the theorem holds for V. The theorem also holds for $X_1^{[n]} = Y_1^{[n]}$ and all n, since $\omega_{X_1^{[n]}}(T)$ is an equivalence of categories by the Main Lemma 6.1. Note that if $r = 1$, then $V = \emptyset$ and $X = X_1^{[n]} = Y_1^{[n]}$ for sufficiently large n.

We can assume that $T = \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ is affine. Let $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X$ be the ideal defining X_1. Let $i_n\colon X_1^{[n]} \hookrightarrow X$ be the closed substack defined by I^{n+1} and let $q\colon V \to X$ be its complement.

For (ii)(a), pick two maps $f_1, f_2\colon T \to X$ and 2-isomorphisms $\tau_1, \tau_2 : f_1 \Rightarrow f_2$ and assume that $\omega_X(T)(\tau_1) = \omega_X(T)(\tau_2)$. We need to prove that $\tau_1 = \tau_2$.

For (ii)(b) (resp. (ii)(c)), pick two maps $f_1, f_2\colon T \to X$ and a natural isomorphism (resp. transformation) $\gamma\colon f_1^* \Rightarrow f_2^*$ of cocontinuous tensor functors. We need to prove that γ comes from a 2-morphism $g\colon f_1 \Rightarrow f_2$.

For (i)(b) and (ii)(d), pick a cocontinuous tensor functor $f^*\colon \mathsf{QCoh}(X) \to \mathsf{QCoh}(T)$. We need to prove that f^* is representable.

When we prove (ii)(d) (resp. (ii)(b) and (ii)(c)), we assume that (ii)(b) (resp. (ii)(a)) already has been established. When we prove (i)(b), we note that $\omega_X(T)$ is fully faithful for all T.

In cases (ii)(a), (ii)(b) and (ii)(c), let $J = \mathrm{Im}(f_2^*I \to f_2^*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_T)$, which is a finitely generated ideal because f_2 is a morphism. In cases (i)(b) and (ii)(d), let $J = \mathrm{Im}(f^*I \to f^*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_T)$, which is a finitely generated ideal because T is noetherian. Let $j_n \colon Z^{[n]} \hookrightarrow T$ be the finitely presented closed immersion defined by J^{n+1} and let $r \colon U \hookrightarrow T$ be its complement, a quasi-compact open immersion.

In cases (ii)(a), (ii)(b) and (ii)(c), we have that $f_1^{-1}(V) = f_2^{-1}(V) = U$ and $f_1^{-1}(X_1^{[n]}) = f_2^{-1}(X_1^{[n]}) = Z^{[n]}$; in the first case this is obvious and for the other two cases this follows from Corollary 4.8 and Lemma 4.6, respectively. Thus, after restricting to either $Z^{[n]}$ or U we have that $\tau_1 = \tau_2$ in case (ii)(a) and that γ is realizable in cases (ii)(b) and (ii)(c).

In cases (i)(b) and (ii)(d), we have that $(j_n)^*f^* = (j_n)^*f^*(i_n)_*i_n^*$ and $(j_n)^*f^*(i_n)_* \colon \mathsf{QCoh}(X_1^{[n]}) \to \mathsf{QCoh}(Z^{[n]})$ is a tensor functor. Hence $(j_n)^*f^*$ is representable by the inductive assumption. Recall that $q^* \colon \mathsf{QCoh}(X) \to \mathsf{QCoh}(U)$ is a supported localization (Example 5.6). If $F \in \mathsf{QCoh}(X)$ is a quasi-coherent sheaf of finite type such that $q^*F = 0$, then $I^mF = 0$ for some integer m and hence $J^mf^*F = 0$ and thus $r^*f^*F = 0$. By Theorem 5.7 we obtain a factorization of r^*f^* via q^* and a tensor functor $\mathsf{QCoh}(V) \to \mathsf{QCoh}(U)$. The latter is representable by the inductive assumption. Thus after restricting to either $Z^{[n]}$ or U, the tensor functor is representable.

If T is noetherian or has no embedded associated points, then the stratification $\emptyset \subset Z \subset T$ is separating by Lemma A.2. The result now follows from Corollary 7.3. □

Remark 8.5. Let X be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated algebraic stack with affine stabilizers. Let T be a locally noetherian stack and let $\pi \colon T' \to T$ be a flat morphism. Assume that we have morphisms $f_1, f_2 \colon T \to X$. Then $\mathrm{Hom}(f_1 \circ \pi, f_2 \circ \pi) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\otimes}(\pi^*f_1^*, \pi^*f_2^*)$ is injective even if T' is not noetherian. Indeed, the stratification on T' constructed in the proof of Theorem 8.4 (ii)(a), is the pull-back along π of a stratification on T, hence separating by Lemma A.2.

We conclude with the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We note that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{r\otimes,\simeq}(\mathsf{Coh}(X), \mathsf{Coh}(T)) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{c\otimes,\simeq}(\mathsf{QCoh}(X), \mathsf{QCoh}(T))$$

is fully faithful. It is thus enough to prove that $\omega_X^\circ(T) \colon \mathrm{Hom}(T, X) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{c\otimes,\simeq}(\mathsf{QCoh}(X), \mathsf{QCoh}(T))$ is an equivalence of groupoids. This follows from Theorem 8.4(ii). □

Theorem 1.4 is Theorem 8.4(i).

9. Applications

In this section, we address the applications outlined in the introduction.

Proof of Corollary 1.5. Let $T' \to T$ be an fpqc covering with T locally excellent and T' locally noetherian. Since X is an fppf-stack, we may assume that T and T' are affine and that $T' \to T$ is faithfully flat. Let $T'' = T' \times_T T'$. Since X has affine stabilizers, the functor $\omega_X^\circ(T)$ is an equivalence, the functor $\omega_X^\circ(T')$ is fully faithful and the functor $\omega_X(T'')$ is

faithful for morphisms $T'' \to T' \to X$ (Theorem 8.4 and Remark 8.5). Since $\mathrm{Hom}_{c\otimes,\simeq}(\mathsf{QCoh}(X), \mathsf{QCoh}(-))$ is an fpqc stack, it follows that $T' \to T$ is a morphism of effective descent for X. □

Proof of Corollary 1.6. It is readily verified that we can assume that X is quasi-compact. As A is noetherian, $\mathsf{Coh}(A) = \varprojlim_n \mathsf{Coh}(A/I^n)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} X(A) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{r\otimes,\simeq}(\mathsf{Coh}(X), \mathsf{Coh}(A)) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{r\otimes,\simeq}(\mathsf{Coh}(X), \varprojlim \mathsf{Coh}(A/I^n)) \\ &\cong \varprojlim \mathrm{Hom}_{r\otimes,\simeq}(\mathsf{Coh}(X), \mathsf{Coh}(A/I^n)) \\ &\cong \varprojlim X(A/I^n). \end{aligned}$$ □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We begin with the following standard reductions: we can assume that (1) S is affine, (2) $X \to S$ is quasi-compact, hence of finite presentation, and (3) S is of finite type over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$, hence excellent. We will prove the algebraicity of $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, X)$ using a variant of Artin's criterion for algebraicity due to the first author [Hal14b, Thm. A]. By descent, $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, X)$ is stack for the étale topology and by standard limit methods it is limit preserving. In the notation of [Hal14b, §9], we observe that associating a graph to a morphism produces a 1-morphism of S-groupoids

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, X) \to \underline{\mathrm{Mor}}^{\mathrm{flb}}_{Z\times_S X/S},$$

which is formally étale (because $Z \to S$ is flat). By [Hal14b, Lemmas 1.5(4,9) and 9.3], we see that $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, X)$ is homogeneous. By [Hal14b, Lemmas 6.11 and 6.12 and §9] and [Hal14a, Thm. C], the conditions on automorphisms, deformations, and obstructions are satisfied. What remains to be shown is the effectivity of the S-groupoid $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, X)$. Thus, let $T = \mathrm{Spec}(B) \to S$, where $(B, \mathfrak{m})$ is a complete local noetherian ring. Let $T_n = \mathrm{Spec}(B/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1})$. Since $Z \to S$ is proper, for every noetherian algebraic stack W with affine stabilizers there are equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}(Z \times_S T, W) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{r\otimes,\simeq}(\mathsf{Coh}(W), \mathsf{Coh}(Z \times_S T)) && \text{(Theorem 1.1)} \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{r\otimes,\simeq}(\mathsf{Coh}(W), \varprojlim \mathsf{Coh}(Z \times_S T_n)) && \text{[Ols05, Thm. 1.4]} \\ &\cong \varprojlim \mathrm{Hom}_{r\otimes,\simeq}(\mathsf{Coh}(W), \mathsf{Coh}(Z \times_S T_n)) && \text{(Lemma 3.6)} \\ &\cong \varprojlim \mathrm{Hom}(Z \times_S T_n, W) && \text{(Theorem 1.1).} \end{aligned}$$

Since X and S have affine stabilizers, it follows that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_S(Z \times_S T, X) \cong \varprojlim \mathrm{Hom}_S(Z \times_S T_n, X);$$

that is, the stack $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, X)$ is effective.

Let P be one of the properties: affine, quasi-affine, separated, quasi-compact and quasi-separated with affine fibers; and assume that Δ_X has P. Let T be an affine scheme. Let Z_T and X_T denote $Z \times_S T$ and $X \times_S T$, respectively. Suppose we are given two T-morphisms $f_1, f_2 \colon Z_T \to X_T$ and consider $Q := \mathrm{Isom}_{Z_T}(f_1, f_2) = X \times_{X\times_S X} Z_T$. Then $Q \to Z_T$ is representable, of finite presentation and P. If $\pi \colon Z_T \to T$ denotes the structure morphism, then $\pi_* Q$ is an algebraic space which is locally of finite presentation, being the pull-back of the diagonal of $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, X)$ along the morphism $T \to \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, X) \times_S \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, X)$ corresponding to (f_1, f_2).

It remains to prove that the induced morphism $\pi_*Q \to T$ is locally of finite presentation and has P. For the properties affine, quasi-affine and separated, this is [HR14b, Thm. 2.3 (i), (ii) & (iv)]: for the first two properties applied to $Q \to Z$ and for the third applied to the closed immersion $Q \to Q \times_Z Q$ and the Weil restriction $\pi_*Q \to \pi_*Q \times_T \pi_*Q = \pi_*(Q \times_Z Q)$. For the property quasi-compact and quasi-separated with affine stabilizers, it follows from Theorem C.1 that $\pi_*Q \to T$ is quasi-compact and quasi-separated; we claim that this morphism has affine fibers. To see this, we may assume that T is the spectrum of an algebraically closed field. In this situation, either π_*Q is empty or $f_1 \simeq f_2$; it suffices to treat the latter case. Now $Q \to Z_T$ is a group with affine fibers and the result follows from Theorem C.1. □

Lemma 9.1. *Let $f\colon Z \to S$ be a proper and flat morphism of finite presentation between algebraic stacks. For any morphism $X \to Z$ of algebraic stacks, the forgetful morphism $f_*X \to \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, X)$ is an open immersion.*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that if T is an affine S-scheme and $h\colon Z \times_S T \to X \times_S T$ is a T-morphism, then the locus of points where $f_T \circ h\colon Z \times_S T \to Z \times_S T$ is an isomorphism is open on T.

First, consider the diagonal of $f_T \circ h$. This morphism is proper and representable and the locus on T where this map is a closed immersion is open [Ryd11c, Lem. 1.8 (iii)]. We may thus assume that $f_T \circ h$ is representable. Repeating the argument on $f_T \circ h$, we may assume that $f_T \circ h$ is a closed immersion. That the locus in T where $f_T \circ h$ is an isomorphism is open now follows easily by studying the étale locus of $f_T \circ h$, cf. [Ols06b, Lem. 5.2]. The result follows. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. That $f_*X \to S$ is algebraic, locally of finite presentation, with quasi-compact and quasi-separated diagonal and affine stabilizers follows from Theorem 1.2 and Lemma 9.1. The additional separation properties of f_*X follows from [HR14b, Thm. 2.3 (i), (ii) & (iv)] applied to the diagonal and double diagonal of $X \to Z$. □

As claimed in the introduction, we now extend [HR14b, Thm. 2.3 & Cor. 2.4]. The statement of the following corollary uses the notion of a morphism of algebraic stacks that is *locally of approximation type* [HR14b, §1]. A trivial example of a morphism locally of approximation type is a quasi-separated morphism that is locally of finite presentation. It is hoped that every quasi-separated morphism of algebraic stacks is locally of approximation type, but this is currently unknown. It is known, however, that morphisms of algebraic stacks that have quasi-finite and locally separated diagonal are locally of approximation type [Ryd15]. In particular, all quasi-separated morphisms of algebraic stacks that are relatively Deligne–Mumford are locally of approximation type.

Corollary 9.2. *Let $f\colon Z \to S$ be a proper and flat morphism of finite presentation between algebraic stacks.*

(i) *Let $h\colon X \to S$ be a morphism of algebraic stacks with affine stabilizers that is locally of approximation type. Then $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, X)$ is algebraic and locally of approximation type with affine stabilizers. If h is locally of finite presentation, then so is $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, X) \to S$. If*

the diagonal of h is affine (resp. quasi-affine, resp. separated), then so is the diagonal of $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, X) \to S$.

(ii) *Let $g\colon X \to Z$ be a morphism of algebraic stacks such that $f \circ g : X \to S$ has affine stabilizers and is locally of approximation type. Then the S-stack f_*X is algebraic and locally of approximation type with affine stabilizers. If g is locally of finite presentation, then so is $f_*X \to S$. If the diagonal of g is affine (resp. quasi-affine, resp. separated), then so is the diagonal of $f_*X \to S$.*

Proof. For (i), we may immediately reduce to the situation where S is an affine scheme. Since f is quasi-compact, we may further assume that h is quasi-compact. By [HR14b, Lem. 1.1], there is an fppf covering $\{S_i \to S\}$ such that each S_i is affine and $X \times_S S_i \to S_i$ factors as $X \times_S S_i \to X_i^0 \to S_i$, where $X_i^0 \to S_i$ is of finite presentation and $X \times_S S_i \to X_i^0$ is affine. Combining the results of [HR14a, Thm. 2.8] with [Ryd15, Thms. D & 7.10], we can arrange so that each $X_i^0 \to S$ has affine stabilizers (or has one of the other desired separation properties).

Thus, we may now replace S by S_i and may assume that $X \to S$ factors as $X \xrightarrow{q} X_0 \to S$, where q is affine and $X_0 \to S$ is of finite presentation with the appropriate separation condition. By Theorem 1.2, the stack $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, X_0)$ is algebraic and locally of finite presentation with the appropriate separation condition. By [HR14b, Thm. 2.3(i)], the morphism $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, X) \to \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(Z, X_0)$ is representable by affine morphisms; the result follows.

For (ii) we argue exactly as in the proof of Theorem 1.3. □

10. Counterexamples

In this section we give four counter-examples (Theorems 10.1, 10.2, 10.4, and 10.5):

- in Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 8.4(ii)(a) it is necessary that X has affine stabilizer groups;
- in Theorem 8.4(ii)(c), it is necessary that X is affine-pointed;
- in Theorem 1.2, it is necessary that X has affine stabilizer groups; and
- in Corollary 1.6, it is necessary that X has affine stabilizer groups.

Theorem 10.1. *Let X be a quasi-separated algebraic stack. If k is an algebraically closed field and $x\colon \operatorname{Spec} k \to X$ is a point with non-affine stabilizer, then $\mathrm{Aut}(x) \to \mathrm{Aut}_\otimes(x^*)$ is not injective. In particular, $\omega_X(\operatorname{Spec} k)$ is not faithful and X is not tensorial.*

Proof. By assumption, the stabilizer group scheme G_x of x is not affine. Let $H = (G_x)_{\mathrm{ant}}$ be the largest anti-affine subgroup of G_x; then H is a non-trivial anti-affine group scheme over k and the quotient group scheme G_x/H is affine [DG70, §III.3.8]. The induced morphism $B_kH \to B_kG_x \to X$ is thus quasi-affine by [Ryd11b, Thm. B.2].

By [Bri09, Lem. 1.1], the morphism $p\colon \operatorname{Spec} k \to B_kH$ induces an equivalence of abelian tensor categories $p^*\colon \mathsf{QCoh}(B_kH) \to \mathsf{QCoh}(\operatorname{Spec} k)$. Since $\mathrm{Aut}(p) = H(k) \neq \{\mathrm{id}_p\} = \mathrm{Aut}_\otimes(p^*)$, the functor $\omega_{B_kH}(\operatorname{Spec} k)$ is not faithful. Hence $\omega_X(\operatorname{Spec} k)$ is not faithful by Lemma 4.2. □

Theorem 10.2. *Let X be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated algebraic stack with affine stabilizers. If k is a field and $x_0\colon \operatorname{Spec} k \to X$ is a non-affine morphism, then there exists a field extension K/k and a point $y\colon \operatorname{Spec} K \to X$ such that $\operatorname{Isom}(y,x) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\otimes}(y^*,x^*)$ is not surjective, where x denotes the K-point corresponding to x_0. In particular, $\omega_X(\operatorname{Spec} K)$ is not full.*

Proof. To simplify notation, we let $x = x_0$. Since X has quasi-compact diagonal, x is quasi-affine [Ryd11b, Thm. B.2]. By Lemma 4.2, we may replace X by $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{pec}_X(x_*k)$ and consequently assume that x is a quasi-compact open immersion and $\mathcal{O}_X \to x_*k$ is an isomorphism. In particular, x is a section to a morphism $f\colon X \to \operatorname{Spec} k$. Since x is not affine, it follows that there exists a closed point y disjoint from the image of x. In particular, there is a field extension K/k and a k-morphism $y\colon \operatorname{Spec} K \to X$ whose image is a closed point disjoint from x.

We now base change the entire situation by $\operatorname{Spec} K \to \operatorname{Spec} k$. This results in two morphisms $x_K, y_K\colon \operatorname{Spec} K \to X \otimes_k K$, where x_K is a quasi-compact open immersion such that $\mathcal{O}_{X\otimes_k K} \cong (x_K)_*K$ and y_K has image a closed point disjoint from the image of x_K. We replace X, k, x, and y by $X \otimes_k K$, K, x_K, and y_K respectively.

Let $\mathcal{G}_y \subseteq X$ be the residual gerbe associated to y, which is a closed immersion. We define a natural transformation $\gamma^\vee\colon x_* \Rightarrow y_*$ at k to be the composition $x_*k \cong \mathcal{O}_X \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_y} \to y_*k$ and extend to all of $\mathsf{QCoh}(\operatorname{Spec} k)$ by taking colimits. By adjunction, there is an induced natural transformation $\gamma\colon y^* \to x^*$. A simple calculation shows that γ is a natural transformation of cocontinuous tensor functors. Since its adjoint $\gamma^\vee$ is not an isomorphism, γ is not an isomorphism; thus γ is not realizable. The result follows. □

The following lemma is a variant of [Bha14, Ex. 4.12], which B. Bhatt communicated to the authors.

Lemma 10.3. *Let k be an algebraically closed field and let G/k be an anti-affine group scheme of finite type. Let Z/k be a regular scheme with a closed subscheme C that is a nodal curve over k. Then there is a compatible system of G-torsors $E_n \to C^{[n]}$ such that there does not exist a G-torsor $E \to Z$ that restricts to the E_ns.*

Proof. Recall that G is smooth, connected and commutative [DG70, §III.3.8]. Furthermore, by Chevalley's theorem, there is an extension $0 \to H \to G \to A \to 0$, where A is an abelian variety (of positive dimension) and H is affine. Let $x_A \in A(k)$ be an element of infinite order and let $x \in G(k)$ be any lift of x_A.

Let $\widetilde{C}$ be the normalization of C. Let $F_0 \to C$ be the G-torsor obtained by gluing the trivial G-torsor on $\widetilde{C}$ along the node by translation by x. Note that the induced A-torsor $F_0/H \to C$ is not torsion as it is obtained by gluing along the non-torsion element x_A.

We may now lift $F_0 \to C$ to G-torsors $F_n \to C^{[n]}$. Indeed, the obstruction to lifting F_{n-1} to F_n lies in $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{O}_C}(\mathsf{L}g_0^*L^\bullet_{BG/k}, I^n/I^{n+1})$, where $g_0\colon C \to BG$ is the morphism corresponding to $F_0 \to C$ and I is the ideal defining C in Z. Since G is smooth, the cotangent complex $L^\bullet_{BG/k}$ is concentrated in degree

1 and since C is a curve, it has cohomological dimension 1. It follows that the obstruction group is zero.

Now given a G-torsor $F \to Z$, there is an induced A-torsor $F/H \to Z$. Since Z is regular, the torsor $F/H \to Z$ is torsion in $H^1(Z, A)$ [Ray70b, XIII 2.4 & 2.6]. Thus, $F/H \to Z$ cannot restrict to $F_0/H \to C$ and the result follows. □

We now have the following theorem, which is a counterexample to [Aok06a, Thm. 1.1] and [Aok06b, Case I].

Theorem 10.4. *Let $X \to S$ be a quasi-separated morphism of algebraic stacks. If k is an algebraically closed field and $x\colon \operatorname{Spec} k \to X$ is a point with non-affine stabilizer, then there exists a morphism $\mathbb{A}^1_k \to S$ and a proper and flat family of curves $Z \to \mathbb{A}^1_k$, where Z is regular, such that $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{\mathbb{A}^1_k}(Z, X \times_S \mathbb{A}^1_k)$ is not algebraic.*

Proof. Let Q be the stabilizer group scheme of x and let G be the largest anti-affine subgroup scheme of Q; thus, G is a non-trivial anti-affine group scheme over k and the quotient group scheme Q/G is affine [DG70, §III.3.8].

Let Z be a proper family of curves over $T = \mathbb{A}^1_k = \operatorname{Spec} k[t]$ with regular total space and a nodal curve C as the fiber over the origin; for example, take $Z = \operatorname{Proj}_T(k[t][x,y,z]/(y^2z - x^2z - x^3 - tz^3))$ over T. Let $T_n = V(t^{n+1})$, $\hat{T} = \operatorname{Spec} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{T,0}$, $Z_n = Z \times_T T_n$, and $\hat{Z} = Z \times_T \hat{T}$. We now apply Lemma 10.3 to C in $\hat{Z}$ and G. Since $Z_n = C^{[n]}$, this produces an element in

$$\varprojlim_n \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_T(Z, BG_T)(T_n) = \varprojlim_n \operatorname{Hom}(Z_n, BG)$$

that does not lift to

$$\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_T(Z, BG_T)(\hat{T}) = \operatorname{Hom}(\hat{Z}, BG).$$

This shows that $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_T(Z, BG_T)$ is not algebraic.

By [Ryd11b, Thm. B.2], the morphism x factors as $\operatorname{Spec} k \to BQ \to \mathcal{Q} \to X$, where $\mathcal{Q}$ is the residual gerbe, $\mathcal{Q} \to X$ is quasi-affine and $BQ \to \mathcal{Q}$ is affine. Since Q/G is affine, it follows that the induced morphism $BG \to BQ \to X$ is quasi-affine. By [HR14b, Thm. 2.3(ii)], the induced morphism $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_T(Z, BG_T) \to \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_T(Z, X \times_S T)$ is quasi-affine. In particular, if $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_T(Z, X \times_S T)$ is algebraic, then $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_T(Z, BG_T)$ is algebraic, which is a contradiction. The result follows. □

The following theorem extends [Bha14, Ex. 4.12].

Theorem 10.5. *Let X be an algebraic stack with quasi-compact diagonal. If X does not have affine stabilizers, then there exists a noetherian two-dimensional regular ring A, complete with respect to an ideal I, such that $X(A) \to \varprojlim X(A/I^n)$ is not an equivalence of categories.*

Proof. Let $x \in |X|$ be a point with non-affine stabilizer group. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 10.4, there exists an algebraically closed field k, an anti-affine group scheme G/k of finite type and a quasi-affine morphism $BG \to X$. An easy calculation shows that it is enough to prove the theorem for $X = BG$.

Let $A_0 = k[x,y]$ and let A be the completion of A_0 along the ideal $I = (y^2 - x^3 - x^2)$. Then $Z = \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ and $C = \operatorname{Spec}(A/I)$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 10.3 and we obtain an element in $\varprojlim_n X(A/I^n)$ that does not lift to $X(A)$. □

Appendix A. Monomorphisms and stratifications

In this appendix, we introduce some notions and results needed for the faithfulness part of Theorem 8.4 when T is not noetherian. This is essential for the proof of Corollary 1.5.

Definition A.1. We say that a finitely presented filtration (X_k) of X is *separating* if the family $\{j_k^n \colon Y_k^{[n]} \to X\}_{k,n}$ is separating [EGA, IV.11.9.1], that is, if the intersection $\bigcap_{k,n} \ker\bigl(\mathcal{O}_X \to (j_k^n)_* \mathcal{O}_{Y_k^{[n]}}\bigr)$ is zero as a lisse-étale sheaf.

Lemma A.2. *Every finitely presented filtration (X_k) on X is separating if either*

(i) *X is noetherian; or*
(ii) *X has no embedded (weakly) associated point.*

If X is noetherian with a filtration (X_k) and $X' \to X$ is flat, then $(X_k \times_X X')$ is a separating filtration on X'.

Proof. As the question is smooth-local, we can assume that X and X' are affine schemes. If X is noetherian, then by primary decomposition there exists a separating family $\coprod_{i=1}^m \operatorname{Spec}(A_i) \to X$ where the A_i are artinian. As every $\operatorname{Spec}(A_i)$ factors through some $Y_k^{[n]}$, it follows that (X_k) is separating. In general, $\{\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}) \to X\}_{x \in \operatorname{Ass}(X)}$ is separating [Laz64, 1.2, 1.5, 1.6]. If x is a non-embedded associated point, then $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$ is a one-point scheme and factors through some $Y_k^{[n]}$ and the first claim follows.

For the last claim, we note that a finite number of the infinitesimal neighborhoods of the strata suffices in the noetherian case and that flat morphisms preserve kernels and finite intersections. □

Proposition A.3. *Let X be an algebraic stack with a finitely presented filtration (X_k). Let $f \colon Z \to X$ be a morphism locally of finite type. If $f|_{Y_k^{[n]}}$ is an isomorphism for every k and n, then f is a surjective closed immersion. If in addition (X_k) is separating, then f is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Note that f is a surjective and quasi-compact monomorphism. We will prove that f is a closed immersion by induction on the number of strata r. If $r = 0$, then $X = \emptyset$ and there is nothing to prove. If $r = 1$, then $X = X_1^{[n]} = Y_1^{[n]}$ for sufficiently large n and the result follows. If $r \geq 2$, then let $U = X \setminus X_1$. By the induction hypothesis, $f|_U$ is a surjective closed immersion.

It is enough to show that f is a closed immersion in a neighborhood of every $x \in |X_1|$. This can be checked locally in the étale topology and we may thus assume that $Z = Z_0 \amalg Z_1$ where $Z_0 \to X$ is a closed immersion and $Z_1 \cap f^{-1}(x) = \emptyset$. Note that $f(Z_0) \cap U$ and $f(Z_1) \cap U$ are disjoint closed and open subsets.

It is further enough to show that f is a closed immersion after replacing X with either X_1, $\overline{f(Z_0)\cap U}$ or $\overline{f(Z_1)\cap U}$. In the first and second case, f is certainly a closed immersion. In the third case, $f(Z_0)$ is set-theoretically contained in X_1. Let $W = f(Z_0)\cap X_1$; this is an open and closed substack of X_1. Thus, $f|_{Z_0}\colon Z_0 \to X$ factors through $W^{[n]}$ for sufficiently large n. By hypothesis, this means that $Z_0 \cong W^{[n]} \cong W^{[N]}$ for all sufficiently large n and all $N \geq n$. This implies that $W^{[n]} \hookrightarrow X$ is an open immersion and we have proved that f is a closed immersion in a neighborhood of x. The result follows.

The last claim is obvious. □

The following example illustrates that a closed immersion $f\colon Z \to X$ as in Proposition A.3 need not be an isomorphism even if f is of finite presentation.

Example A.4. Let $A = k[x, z_1, z_2, \dots]/(xz_1, \{z_k - xz_{k+1}\}_{k\geq 1}, \{z_i z_j\}_{i,j\geq 1})$ and $B = A/(z_1)$. Then $A/(x^n) = k[x]/(x^n) = B/(x^n)$ and $A_x = k[x]_x = B_x$ but the surjection $A \to B$ is not an isomorphism.

Appendix B. Mayer–Vietoris squares and étale morphisms

In this appendix, we remove the separatedness assumption that is present in many results of [MB96]. We do this by establishing the gluing of étale and unramified morphisms along certain Mayer–Vietoris squares (Theorem B.7).

Let S be an algebraic space, let $Z \hookrightarrow S$ be a finitely presented closed immersion and let $U = S \setminus Z$ denote its complement. A *Mayer–Vietoris square* [HR14d, App.] is a cartesian square of algebraic spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U' & \longrightarrow & S' \\ {\scriptstyle f_U}\downarrow & \square & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ U & \longrightarrow & S \end{array} \tag{B.1}$$

such that

(i) f is quasi-compact, quasi-separated and representable;
(ii) $f|_Z$ is an isomorphism; and
(iii) $\mathsf{L}f^*\mathcal{O}_Z \to f^*\mathcal{O}_Z$ is an isomorphism, that is, $Z \hookrightarrow S$ and f are Tor-independent.

A Mayer–Vietoris square such that f is affine is the same as a triple (S, Z, S') satisfying the (TI) condition in the terminology of [MB96, 0.2, 0.6]. Note that (iii) is always satisfied if f is flat.

The main example of a Mayer–Vietoris square is obtained by completions: S is affine and noetherian and S' is the completion of S along Z. Our main theorem in this context is the gluing of algebraic spaces that are locally of finite presentation and quasi-separated.

Theorem B.1. *Consider a Mayer–Vietoris square as in* (B.1) *with $f\colon S' \to S$ flat and affine, S locally excellent and S' locally noetherian. Then the natural functor*

$$\Phi\colon \mathbf{AlgSp}_{\mathrm{lfp,qs}}(S) \to \mathbf{AlgSp}_{\mathrm{lfp,qs}}(S') \times_{\mathbf{AlgSp}_{\mathrm{lfp,qs}}(U')} \mathbf{AlgSp}_{\mathrm{lfp,qs}}(U)$$

is an equivalence of categories.

For separated algebraic spaces (SLFP), this is [MB96, Cor. 5.6]. We then obtain the following generalization of [MB96, Cor. 6.5.1] (which assumes that X has separated diagonal).

Corollary B.2. *Consider a Mayer–Vietoris square as in* (B.1) *with $f\colon S' \to S$ flat and affine, S locally excellent and S' locally noetherian. Let X be an algebraic stack such that Δ_X is quasi-separated (that is, Δ_{Δ_X} is quasi-compact). Then the natural functor*

$$X(S) \to X(S') \times_{X(U')} X(U)$$

is an equivalence of groupoids. Equivalently, S is the pushout of S' and U in the 2*-category of algebraic stacks with quasi-separated diagonal.*

Just as in [MB96] we prove Theorem B.1 by constructing a descent datum along $S' \to S$ via the Mayer–Vietoris square [MB96, Prop. 2.5.2]:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U' & \longrightarrow & S' \\ {\scriptstyle \Delta_{f_U}}\downarrow & \square & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Delta_f} \\ U' \times_U U' & \longrightarrow & S' \times_S S'. \end{array} \tag{B.2}$$

In contrast to the original square, the morphism Δ_f is typically not flat, nor $S' \times_S S'$ noetherian. A notable exception is when $S' \to S$ is étale; this case is treated in detail in [Ryd11b].

When f is not flat, we have to impose some flatness on the objects instead. As in [MB96, §2] we say that $X \to S$ is f-flat if $\operatorname{Tor}^i_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_{S'}) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. Recall that

- $X \to S$ is f-flat if and only if $X|_U \to U$ is f_U-flat [MB96, Cor. 3.4.3];
- if $X \to S$ is f-flat, then the pull-back of the Mayer–Vietoris square along $X \to S$ is a Mayer–Vietoris square [MB96, Prop. 2.4]; and
- if $X \to S$ is f-flat, then $Z \to X$ is $X \times_S S'$-flat if and only if the composition $Z \to X \to S$ is f-flat [MB96, Lem. 2.1].

The fundamental result on Mayer–Vietoris squares is that we have an equivalence of categories

$$\mathsf{QCoh}_{f-\mathrm{fl}}(S) \to \mathsf{QCoh}(S') \times_{\mathsf{QCoh}(U')} \mathsf{QCoh}_{f_U-\mathrm{fl}}(U),$$

cf. [HR14d, Thm. A.4] for the general case, [MB96, Thm. 3.1] when f is affine and [FR70, App.] when f is affine and flat.

We will now show that $\pi\colon \widetilde{S} = S' \amalg U \to S$ is universally submersive and that we have an equivalence of categories

$$\mathbf{Et}(S) \to \mathbf{Et}(S') \times_{\mathbf{Et}(U')} \mathbf{Et}(U),$$

at least when f is a closed immersion. When π is *universally subtrusive*, this is an easy consequence of the main result of [Ryd10]. However, π is typically not universally subtrusive which makes the situation more delicate.

The following reduction on Mayer–Vietoris squares will be important.

Remark B.3 (Zariskification). If $S' = \operatorname{Spec}(A')$ is affine, then we may localize S' in $Z = \operatorname{Spec}(A'/I')$ by taking $S'' = \operatorname{Spec}(A'')$ where $A'' = (1+I')^{-1}A'$. Since $S'' \to S'$ is flat and an isomorphism over Z, the resulting triple (S, Z, S'') is a Mayer–Vietoris triple.

Lemma B.4. *Consider a Mayer–Vietoris square as in* (B.1) *and assume that every non-empty closed subset of $|S|$ meets $|Z|$. Then every non-empty closed subset of $|U|$ meets $f_U(U')$.*

Proof. Let $W_U \hookrightarrow U$ be a closed subscheme. If $W_U \cap f_U(U') = \emptyset$, then W_U is trivially f_U-flat and we can glue the closed immersions $W_U \hookrightarrow U$, $\emptyset \hookrightarrow S'$ to a closed immersion $W \hookrightarrow S$ [MB96, Cor. 3.7.2]. Then $W \cap Z = \emptyset$, hence $W = \emptyset$ by the assumption on S. □

Proposition B.5. *Suppose we are given a Mayer–Vietoris square as in* (B.1) *with $f\colon S' \to S$ affine. Then the morphism $\pi\colon \widetilde{S} = S' \amalg U \to S$ is universally submersive.*

Proof. The question is fppf-local on S, so we can assume that $S = \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ is affine.

As the general case is somewhat technical, let us first prove that π is submersive. Note that π is surjective and quasi-compact, since $f|_Z$ is an isomorphism. To show that π is submersive, it is enough to prove that a subset $W \subset |S|$ is closed if $W|_U$ and $f^{-1}(W)$ are closed. Since π is quasi-compact and surjective, it follows that W is pro-constructible. It is thus enough to prove that W is stable under specializations [EGA, IV.1.10.1]. To verify this, we may assume that S is the spectrum of a local ring with closed point s and it is enough to prove that if $W \neq \emptyset$, then $s \in W$. Since $S' = \operatorname{Spec}(A')$ is affine, we may also replace S' with the Zariskification of S' along Z. We can thus assume that every closed subset of S' intersects Z.

By assumption $W \cap U$ is closed. If $W \cap U = \emptyset$, then $W = W \cap Z = f^{-1}(W) \cap Z$ is closed. If $W \cap U \neq \emptyset$, then $f^{-1}(W) \neq \emptyset$ by Lemma B.4. By assumption $f^{-1}(W)$ is closed; hence $s \in W$.

For universal submersiveness, there is a valuative criterion: for every valuation ring V and morphism $\operatorname{Spec}(V) \to S$, the base change $\widetilde{S} \times_S \operatorname{Spec}(V) \to \operatorname{Spec}(V)$ is submersive [Ryd10, Thm. 2.8].

To verify this, we may assume that $S = \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ is local with closed point s and that $A \to V$ is local. The open subscheme $\operatorname{Spec}(V) \times_S U \subset \operatorname{Spec}(V)$ is quasi-compact and hence has a unique closed point v_1. Let $P \subseteq V$ be the prime ideal corresponding to v_1 and let $V_1 = V/P$. Then V is *composed* of the valuation rings V_1 and V_P in the sense that $V = V_1 \times_{\kappa(v_1)} V_P$. Let s_1 be the image of v_1 in S. Let $K = \kappa(s_1)$ and consider the intersection $W_1 := V_1 \cap K$ inside $\kappa(v_1)$. Then W_1 is a valuation ring with fraction field K. Finally let $W = W_1 \times_K \mathcal{O}_{S,s_1}$. The local ring W is a *semi-valuation* ring in the terminology of Temkin and a local ring of the relative Riemann–Zariski space $\mathrm{RZ}_U(S)$ [Tem11]. Note that $A \to V$ factors via W. Moreover, $\operatorname{Spec}(W) \to \operatorname{Spec}(S)$ restricts to $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{S,s_1}) \to U$ over U and equals $\operatorname{Spec}(W_1) \to S_1$ over $S_1 = \overline{\{s_1\}}$. In particular, $\operatorname{Spec}(W) \to \operatorname{Spec}(S)$ is f-flat so we may replace A with W.

Now U is the spectrum of a local ring with closed point s_1 and $S_1 = \overline{\{s_1\}}$ is the spectrum of a valuation ring W_1. As before, we may also replace S' by its Zariskification along Z. By Lemma B.4, there is a point $s_1' \in S'$ above s_1. Let $S_1' = \overline{\{s_1'\}}$. Then $s \in S_1'$ so $S_1' \to S_1$ is faithfully flat.

Thus, we have shown that f is universally submersive over S_1. Since $\operatorname{Spec}(V)$ is totally ordered it follows that $\widetilde{S} \times \operatorname{Spec}(V) \to \operatorname{Spec}(V)$ is submersive. □

Lemma B.6. *Consider a Mayer–Vietoris square as in* (B.1)*. Assume that S and U are affine and that $f_U(U') \subseteq |U|$ is closed. If (S, Z) is a henselian pair, then $(U, f_U(U'))$ is a henselian pair.*

Proof. Let $E_U \to U$ be an affine étale morphism which is an isomorphism along $f_U(U')_{\mathrm{red}}$. Then $E_U \to U$ is an isomorphism over the schematic image of f_U. We may thus glue $E_U \to U$, $E_{U'} = U'$, $E' = S'$ to an affine étale morphism $E \to S$ [MB96, Prop. 4.1 (iii)]. Since $E|_Z \to Z$ is an isomorphism, it follows that $E \to S$ has a section. Hence, so has $E_U \to U$ and we have shown that $(U, f_U(U'))$ is a henselian pair [Ray70a, XI §2]. □

Let $\mathbf{Et}(S)$ denote the category of representable étale morphisms $X \to S$. Similarly, let $\mathbf{Unr}(S)$ denote the category of representable unramified morphisms. We follow the modern terminology where unramified means locally of finite type and formally unramified. We do not impose any further conditions on the morphisms such as quasi-compactness or quasi-separatedness. We will identify $\mathbf{Et}(S)$ with the category of sheaves of sets on the small étale site of S.

Theorem B.7. *Consider a Mayer–Vietoris square as in* (B.1)*. Assume that $f\colon S' \to S$ is a closed immersion. Then the natural functors*

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{Et}}\colon \mathbf{Et}(S) \to \mathbf{Et}(S') \times_{\mathbf{Et}(U')} \mathbf{Et}(U), \text{ and}$$
$$\Phi_{\mathbf{Unr}}\colon \mathbf{Unr}_{f-\mathrm{fl}}(S) \to \mathbf{Unr}(S') \times_{\mathbf{Unr}(U')} \mathbf{Unr}_{f_U-\mathrm{fl}}(U)$$

are equivalences of categories.

Proof. That both functors are fully faithful follows from Proposition B.5 since the diagonal of an unramified morphism is an open immersion. We will now prove that $\Phi_{\mathbf{Et}}$ is essentially surjective. By fppf-descent, the question is local and we may assume that S is affine.

Consider the blow-up $\mathrm{Bl}_Z(S) \to S$. This is a proper f-flat morphism since $\mathrm{Bl}_Z(S) \times_S U \to U$ is an isomorphism and hence f_U-flat. The open immersion $U \to \mathrm{Bl}_Z(S)$ is affine since it is the complement of the exceptional divisor E. It may happen that $\mathrm{Bl}_Z(S) \to S$ is not surjective, but $\mathrm{Bl}_Z(S) \amalg Z \to S$ is surjective and f-flat. Proper surjective morphisms are morphisms of effective descent for $\mathbf{Et}$ [SGA4$_2$, Exp. VIII, Thm. 9.4], so we may replace S and Z with $\mathrm{Bl}_Z(S) \amalg Z$ and $E \amalg Z$. We may thus assume that both S and U are affine.

We will treat $\mathbf{Et}(S') \times_{\mathbf{Et}(U')} \mathbf{Et}(U)$ as the category of triples $(F', F_{U'}, F_U) \in \mathbf{Et}(S') \times \mathbf{Et}(U') \times \mathbf{Et}(U)$ together with isomorphisms $i'^* F' \cong F_{U'} \cong f_U^* F_U$. Let $k = i \circ f_U = f \circ i'\colon U' \to S$. The functor $\Phi_{\mathbf{Et}}$ has a right-adjoint Ψ that takes a triple $(F', F_{U'}, F_U)$ to the sheaf $f_* F' \times_{k_* F_{U'}} i_* F_U$. Since $\Phi_{\mathbf{Et}}$ is fully faithful, it is enough to verify that the counit $\epsilon\colon \Phi_{\mathbf{Et}} \Psi \to \mathrm{id}$ of the adjunction is an isomorphism.

It is obvious that $\epsilon_i\colon i^* \Psi(F', F_{U'}, F_U) \to F_U$ is an isomorphism since the pull-back of the Mayer–Vietoris triple (S, Z, S') along $U \to S$ results

in the Mayer–Vietoris triple $(U, \emptyset, U')$. It is thus enough to verify that $\epsilon_f \colon f^*\Psi(F', F_{U'}, F_U) \to F'$ is an isomorphism.

Let $s' \colon \operatorname{Spec}(\overline{k}) \to S'$ be a geometric point and let $s = f \circ s'$. It is enough to verify that $(\epsilon_f)_{s'}$ is an isomorphism. To verify this, we may replace $S = \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ with the strict henselization at s. Then (S', s') is also henselian as is (U, U') by Lemma B.6 and $(\epsilon_f)_{s'} = (\epsilon_f)(S')$. We note that $(f^*\Psi(F', F_{U'}, F_U))(S') = \Psi(F', F_{U'}, F_U)(S) = F'(S') \times_{F'(U')} F_U(U) = F'(S')$ since (S, Z), (S', Z) and (U, U') are henselian pairs. This proves that $(\epsilon_f)_{s'}$ is an isomorphism; hence we have established that the counit is an isomorphism and that $\Phi_{\mathbf{Et}}$ is an equivalence.

To see that $\Phi_{\mathbf{Unr}}$ is an equivalence, we recall that every unramified morphism has a canonical factorization as a closed immersion followed by an étale morphism [Ryd11a]. The result then follows from the gluings of f-flat closed immersions [MB96, Cor. 3.7.2] and the étale case. □

In particular, Theorem B.7 allows us to glue monomorphisms that are locally of finite type. We also need the following special case of Theorem B.1 (for which no noetherian hypotheses are needed) that allow us to glue monomorphisms when f is flat. We let $\mathbf{QAff}(S)$ denote the category of quasi-affine morphisms $X \to S$ and let $\mathbf{LQF}_{\mathrm{sep}}(S)$ denote the category of locally quasi-finite and separated morphisms $X \to S$.

Lemma B.8. *Consider a Mayer–Vietoris square as in* (B.1)*. Assume that $f \colon S' \to S$ is flat and affine. Then the natural functors*

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{QAff}} \colon \mathbf{QAff}_{f-\mathrm{fl}}(S) \to \mathbf{QAff}(S') \times_{\mathbf{QAff}(U')} \mathbf{QAff}_{f_U-\mathrm{fl}}(U)$$
$$\Phi_{\mathbf{LQF}_{\mathrm{sep}}} \colon \mathbf{LQF}_{\mathrm{sep},f-\mathrm{fl}}(S) \to \mathbf{LQF}_{\mathrm{sep}}(S') \times_{\mathbf{LQF}_{\mathrm{sep}}(U')} \mathbf{LQF}_{\mathrm{sep},f_U-\mathrm{fl}}(U)$$

are equivalences of categories.

Proof. That $\Phi_{\mathbf{QAff}}$ is an equivalence is [MB96, Thm. 1.1]. Fully faithfulness of $\Phi_{\mathbf{LQF}_{\mathrm{sep}}}$ follows from [MB96, Cor. 3.7.2 and Prop. 4.1 (v)]. To show that $\Phi_{\mathbf{LQF}_{\mathrm{sep}}}$ is essentially surjective, it is enough, by [MB96, Prop. 5.7.1], to prove that it is essentially surjective on quasi-compact objects. Since a quasi-finite separated morphism is quasi-affine [LMB, A.2], the result follows. □

We may now remove the separatedness assumption in [MB96, Thm. 4.5] at the expense of some additional hypotheses.

Corollary B.9. *Consider a Mayer–Vietoris square as in* (B.1)*. Assume that $f \colon S' \to S$ is either a closed immersion or flat and affine. Then the natural functor*

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{AlgSp}} \colon \mathbf{AlgSp}_{f-\mathrm{fl}}(S) \to \mathbf{AlgSp}(S') \times_{\mathbf{AlgSp}(U')} \mathbf{AlgSp}_{f_U-\mathrm{fl}}(U)$$

is fully faithful.

Proof. Let X and Y be f-flat algebraic spaces over S. We may assume that $X = S$. Any section $S \to Y$ is then an $f \times_S Y$-flat monomorphism, locally of finite type. The result thus follows from Theorem B.7 (when f is a closed immersion) and Lemma B.8 (when f is flat and affine) together with [MB96, Prop. 4.1 (v)]. □

Proof of Theorem B.1. That the functor is fully faithful is a special case of Corollary B.9. By [MB96, Prop. 5.7.1], it is enough to prove that the functor is essentially surjective on the subcategory of finitely presented morphisms. Thus, let $X' \to S'$ and $X_U \to U$ be representable morphisms of finite presentation.

The diagonal of f fits in the Mayer–Vietoris square (B.2). Since Δ_f is a closed immersion, we may apply Corollary B.9 to obtain a gluing datum of $X' \to S'$ along $S' \to S$. Effectivity of the descent datum then follows as in the proof of [MB96, Prop. 5.6 (iii)] by reduction to fppf descent using Néron–Popescu desingularization. □

Proof of Corollary B.2. Reason as in [MB96, Cor. 6.5.1] using Theorem B.1 to avoid the hypothesis that X has separated diagonal. □

Remark B.10. We proved Corollary B.9 in the non-flat case using a descent result for unramified morphisms. As monomorphisms of finite type are quasi-finite and separated, another approach is to prove a descent result for quasi-affine morphism as in the flat case. A problem with this approach is that the affine hull does not commute with non-flat morphisms and hence does not glue. A potential solution is to instead work with the derived affine hull which does commute with pull-back (in the Tor-independent setting that we always assume). Derived objects can be glued in Mayer–Vietoris squares, cf. [HR14d, Lem. 5.6] or [Bha14, Thm. 1.4 (2)]. The derived affine hull is, however, not connective so one would probably need to generalize Proposition B.5 to Mayer–Vietoris squares of non-connective derived schemes.

Remark B.11. Another recent proof of Corollary B.9, valid without assumptions on f, is given in [Bha14, Thm. 1.4 (1)]. It is based on derived Tannaka duality for algebraic spaces [Bha14, Thm. 1.5].

Appendix C. A boundedness result for Weil restrictions

In this section we prove the following boundedness result for Weil restrictions (or equivalently, for Hom stacks).

Theorem C.1. *Let $f\colon Z \to S$ be a proper, flat and finitely presented morphism of algebraic stacks. Let $X \to Z$ be a representable morphism of finite presentation with affine fibers. Then the Weil restriction $f_*X = \mathbf{R}_{Z/S}(X) \to S$ is of finite presentation. If in addition $X \to Z$ is a group, then $f_*X \to S$ is a group with affine fibers.*

Theorem C.1 is used in Theorem 1.2 (resp. 1.3) to establish the quasi-compactness of the diagonal of Hom-stacks (resp. Weil restrictions). Without using Theorem C.1, the proofs give the algebraicity of Hom-stacks (resp. Weil restrictions) and that the diagonal is (quasi-)affine when the diagonal of $X \to S$ (resp. $X \to Z$) is (quasi-)affine. In the setting of Theorem C.1, $X \to Z$ is representable and hence has quasi-affine diagonal. Thus, we can conclude that $f_*X \to S$ is representable, quasi-separated and locally of finite presentation. It remains to prove that $f_*X \to S$ is quasi-compact.

As the question is local on S, we may assume that S is an affine scheme. By standard approximation results, we may assume that S is of finite type

over $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$. For the remainder of the appendix, all stacks will be of finite presentation over S and hence excellent with finite normalization etc.

We fix once and for all a proper flat morphism $f\colon Z \to S$ and a representable morphism $X \to Z$ of finite presentation. We abbreviate $\mathbf{R}_{Z/S} = \mathbf{R}_{Z/S}(X)$. We will give three reduction results of increasing generality for which we do not assume that $X \to Z$ has affine fibers.

By noetherian induction on S, to prove that $\mathbf{R}_{Z/S}(X)$ is quasi-compact, we may assume that S is integral and replace S with a suitable dense open subscheme. Moreover, we may also replace $Z \to S$ with the pull-back along a dominant map $S' \to S$. Recall that there exists a field extension $K'/K(S)$ such that $(Z_{K'})_{\mathrm{red}}$ (resp. $(Z_{K'})_{\mathrm{norm}}$) is geometrically reduced (resp. geometrically normal) over K'. After replacing S with a dense open subset of the normalization in K', we may thus assume that

(i) $Z_{\mathrm{red}} \to S$ is flat with geometrically reduced fibers; and
(ii) $Z_{\mathrm{norm}} \to S$ is flat with geometrically normal fibers;

since these properties are constructible [EGA, IV.9.7.7 (iii) and 9.9.4 (iii)].

Our first reduction result is similar to [Ols06b, Lem. 5.11].

Proposition C.2. *Let $Z_0 \hookrightarrow Z$ be a square-zero nil-immersion of algebraic stacks that are flat and proper over S. If $\mathbf{R}_{Z_0/S}$ is quasi-compact, then so is $\mathbf{R}_{Z/S}$.*

Proof. There is a natural morphism $\pi\colon \mathbf{R}_{Z/S} \to \mathbf{R}_{Z_0/S}$ and it is enough to prove that this morphism is quasi-compact.

Let T be an affine scheme and let $T \to \mathbf{R}_{Z_0/S}$ be a morphism. This corresponds to a Z-morphism $s_0\colon Z_0 \times_S T \to X$. The pull-back of π to $T = \operatorname{Spec} A$ is the functor that takes a morphism $T' \to T$ of affine schemes to lifts s in the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z_0 \times_S T' & \xrightarrow{s_0'} & X \\ \downarrow & \overset{s}{\nearrow} & \downarrow \\ Z \times_S T' & \longrightarrow & Z \end{array}$$

By Illusie, the obstruction to the existence of a lift s lies in $O(T') = E^1(T') := \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_0\times_S T'}}(\mathsf{L}(s_0')^* L^\bullet_{X/Z}, I_{T'})$ and if the obstruction vanishes, the set of lifts is a torsor under $D(T') = E^0(T') := \operatorname{Ext}^0_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_0\times_S T'}}(\mathsf{L}(s_0')^* L^\bullet_{X/Z}, I_{T'})$ (apply [Ols06a, Thm. 1.5] with $J = K = 0$). Here $I_{T'}$ denotes the ideal of $Z_0 \times_S T' \hookrightarrow Z \times_S T'$, which by flatness of $Z_0 \to S$ equals the pull-back of the ideal I defining $Z_0 \hookrightarrow Z$.

To show that π is quasi-compact, we may assume that T is integral and it is enough to prove that π is quasi-compact after replacing T with a dense open subscheme. We may thus assume that $E^j(T)$ are vector bundles for $j = 0, 1$ and that $E^j(T) \otimes_A A' \cong E^j(T')$, for every morphism $T' = \operatorname{Spec} A' \to T = \operatorname{Spec} A$ (by the Semicontinuity Theorem [Hal14a, Thm. A] applied to the generic point of T). Let $o \in O(T)$ be the obstruction. If $o \neq 0$, then the situation is obstructed for all $T' \to T$ and $\mathbf{R}_{Z/S} \times_{\mathbf{R}_{Z_0/S}} T = \emptyset$. If $o = 0$, then the situation is unobstructed and the restriction of π to T

is (non-canonically) isomorphic to the vector bundle $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathrm{Sym}(E^0(T)^\vee))$, hence quasi-compact. □

Corollary C.3. *If $\mathbf{R}_{Z'/S'}$ is quasi-compact for every scheme S', morphism $S' \to S$ and nil-immersion $Z' \to Z \times_S S'$ such that $Z' \to S'$ is flat with geometrically reduced fibers, then $\mathbf{R}_{Z/S}$ is quasi-compact.*

Proof. Assume that the condition holds. To prove that $\mathbf{R}_{Z/S}$ is quasi-compact, we may assume that S is integral. We may also assume that $Z_{\mathrm{red}} \to S$ has geometrically reduced fibers. Pick a sequence of square-zero nil-immersions $Z_{\mathrm{red}} = Z_0 \hookrightarrow Z_1 \hookrightarrow \ldots \hookrightarrow Z_n = Z$. After replacing S with a dense open subset, we may assume that all the $Z_i \to S$ are flat. The corollary then follows from Proposition C.2. □

The second reduction is for a (partial) normalization:

Proposition C.4. *Let $W \to Z$ be a finite birational morphism between reduced algebraic stacks over S. Let $Z_0 \hookrightarrow Z$ and $W_0 \hookrightarrow W$ be the closed substacks defined by the conductor ideal of $W \to Z$. Assume that W, Z, W_0 and Z_0 are flat over S. Then $\mathbf{R}_{Z/S}$ is quasi-compact if $\mathbf{R}_{W/S}$ and $\mathbf{R}_{Z_0/S}$ are quasi-compact.*

Proof. The square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_0 & \hookrightarrow & W \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ Z_0 & \hookrightarrow & Z \end{array}$$

is a bicartesian square and remains so after arbitrary base change over S since $W_0 \to S$ is flat. This gives a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{R}_{W_0/S} & \longleftarrow & \mathbf{R}_{W/S} \\ \uparrow & \square & \uparrow \\ \mathbf{R}_{Z_0/S} & \longleftarrow & \mathbf{R}_{Z/S} \end{array}$$

Since $\mathbf{R}_{Z_0/S}$ and $\mathbf{R}_{W/S}$ are quasi-compact, so is $\mathbf{R}_{Z/S}$. □

Corollary C.5. *If $\mathbf{R}_{Z'/S'}$ is quasi-compact for every scheme S', morphism $S' \to S$ and finite morphism $Z' \to Z \times_S S'$ such that $Z' \to S'$ is flat with geometrically normal fibers, then $\mathbf{R}_{Z/S}$ is quasi-compact.*

Proof. By Corollary C.3, we may assume that $Z \to S$ is flat with geometrically reduced fibers. We will use induction on the maximal fiber dimension d of $Z \to S$. After modifying S, we may assume that $W := Z_{\mathrm{norm}} \to S$ is flat with geometrically normal fibers. Let $Z_0 \hookrightarrow Z$ and $W_0 \hookrightarrow W$ be the closed substacks given by the conductor ideal of $W \to Z$.

After replacing S with a dense open subset, we may assume that $Z_0 \to S$ and $W_0 \to S$ are flat and that $W \to Z$ is an isomorphism over an open subset $U \subseteq Z$ that is dense in every fiber. In particular, since $Z_0 \cap U = \emptyset$, the dimensions of the fibers of $Z_0 \to S$ are strictly smaller than d. Thus, by induction we may assume that $\mathbf{R}_{Z_0/S}$ is quasi-compact. It follows that $\mathbf{R}_{Z/S}$ is quasi-compact by Proposition C.4. □

We have the following variant of h-descent [Ryd10, Thm. 7.4].

Lemma C.6. *Let S be an algebraic stack, let T be an algebraic S-stack and let $g\colon T' \to T$ be a universally subtrusive (e.g., proper and surjective) morphism of finite presentation such that g is flat over an open substack $U \subseteq T$. If T is weakly normal in U (e.g., T normal and U open dense), then for every representable morphism $X \to S$, the following sequence is exact:*

$$X(T) \longrightarrow X(T') \rightrightarrows X(T' \times_T T)$$

where $X(T) = \operatorname{Hom}_S(T, X)$ etc.

Proof. It is enough to prove that given a morphism $f\colon T' \to X$ such that $f\circ\pi_1 = f\circ\pi_2\colon T'\times_T T' \to X$, there exists a unique morphism $h\colon T \to X$ such that $f = h \circ g$. By fppf-descent over U, there is a unique $h|_U\colon U \to X$ such that $f|_{g^{-1}(U)} = h|_U \circ g|_{g^{-1}(U)}$. Consider the morphism $\tilde{g}\colon \widetilde{T}' = T' \amalg U \to X$. The morphism $\tilde{f} = (f, h|_U)\colon \widetilde{T}' \to X$ satisfies $\tilde{f}\circ\tilde{\pi}_1 = \tilde{f}\circ\tilde{\pi}_2$ where $\tilde{\pi}_i$ denotes the projections of $\widetilde{T}' \times_X \widetilde{T}' \to \widetilde{T}'$. By assumption, $\tilde{g}$ is universally subtrusive and weakly normal. Thus, by h-descent [Ryd10, Thm. 7.4], we have an exact sequence

$$X(T) \longrightarrow X(\widetilde{T}') \rightrightarrows X\big((\widetilde{T}' \times_T \widetilde{T}')_{\mathrm{red}}\big).$$

Indeed, by smooth descent we can assume that S, T and $\widetilde{T}'$ are schemes so that [Ryd10, Thm. 7.4] applies. We conclude that $\tilde{f}$ comes from a unique morphism $h\colon T \to X$. □

Our last general reduction result generalizes [Ols06b, Lem. 5.13] (which requires $X \to Z$ to be finite).

Proposition C.7. *Let $W \to Z$ be a proper surjective morphism over S. Assume that $Z \to S$ is flat with geometrically normal fibers. If $\mathbf{R}_{W/S}$ is quasi-compact, then so is $\mathbf{R}_{Z/S}$.*

Proof. We may assume that S is an integral scheme. After replacing S with an open subscheme, we may also assume that $W \to Z$ is flat over an open subset $U \subseteq Z$ that is dense in every fiber over S. Consider the sequence

$$\mathbf{R}_{Z/S} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{W/S} \rightrightarrows \mathbf{R}_{W\times_Z W/S}$$

There is a canonical morphism $\varphi\colon \mathbf{R}_{Z/S} \to E$ where E denotes the equalizer of the parallel arrows. Since $\mathbf{R}_{W/S}$ is quasi-compact (and $\mathbf{R}_{W\times_Z W/S}$ is quasi-separated), the equalizer E is quasi-compact. It is thus enough to show that φ is quasi-compact. Thus, pick a scheme T and a morphism $T \to E$ and let us show that $\mathbf{R}_{Z/S} \times_E T$ is quasi-compact.

By noetherian induction on T, we may assume that T is normal. The morphism $T \to E$ gives an element of $\operatorname{Hom}_Z(W \times_S T, X)$ such that the two images in $\operatorname{Hom}_Z(W \times_Z W \times_S T, X)$ coincide. Noting that $Z \times_S T$ is normal, the lemma applies and gives a unique element in $\operatorname{Hom}_Z(Z\times_S T, X) = \operatorname{Hom}_S(T, \mathbf{R}_{Z/S})$. Thus, $\mathbf{R}_{Z/S} \times_E T \to T$ has a section. Repeating the argument with $T = \operatorname{Spec}\kappa(t)$ for every point $t \in T$, we see that $\mathbf{R}_{Z/S}\times_E T \to T$ is injective so the section is surjective. It follows that $\mathbf{R}_{Z/S} \times_E T$ is quasi-compact. □

Proof of Theorem C.1. As usual, we may assume that S is an affine integral scheme. By Corollary C.5, we may in addition assume that $Z \to S$ has geometrically normal fibers. Let $W \to Z$ be a proper surjective morphism with W a projective S-scheme [Ols05]. By replacing S with a dense open, we may assume that $W \to S$ is flat. By Proposition C.7, we may replace Z with W and assume that Z is a (projective) scheme. Repeating the first reduction, we may still assume that $Z \to S$ has geometrically normal fibers.

Let $Y = \operatorname{Spec}_Z(g_*\mathcal{O}_X)$ where $g\colon X \to Z$ and let $X \to Y \to Z$ be the induced factorization. Since $X \to Z$ has affine fibers $X \to Y$ is an isomorphism over an open dense subset $U \subseteq Z$. After replacing S with a dense open subscheme, we may assume that U is dense in every fiber over S. Since $\mathbf{R}_{Z/S}(Y) \to S$ is affine, it is enough to prove that $\mathbf{R}_{Z/S}(X) \to \mathbf{R}_{Z/S}(Y)$ is quasi-compact. We may thus replace X, Z, U and S with $X \times_Y (Z \times_S \mathbf{R}_{Z/S}(Y))$, $Z \times_S \mathbf{R}_{Z/S}(Y)$, $U \times_S \mathbf{R}_{Z/S}(Y)$ and $\mathbf{R}_{Z/S}(Y)$. We may thus assume that $X \to Z$ is an isomorphism over U.

Since X is an algebraic space, there exists a finite surjective morphism $X' \to X$ such that X' is a scheme. In particular, there is a finite field extension $L/K(U)$ such that the normalization of X' in L is a scheme. Take a splitting field L'/L and let Z' be the normalization of Z in L'. Then $X' := (X \times_Z Z')_{\mathrm{norm}} = X_{\mathrm{norm}/L'}$ is a scheme. By replacing S with a normalization in an extension of $K(S)$ and shrinking, we may assume that $Z' \to S$ and $X' \to S$ are flat with geometrically normal fibers. By Proposition C.7, it is enough to prove that $\mathbf{R}_{Z'/S}(X \times_Z Z')$ is quasi-compact.

There is a natural morphism

$$\mathbf{R}_{Z'/S}(X') \to \mathbf{R}_{Z'/S}(X \times_Z Z').$$

We claim that this morphism is surjective. To see this, we may assume that S is a field. Then Z' and X' are normal and any section $Z' \to X \times_Z Z'$ lifts uniquely to a section $Z' \to X'$. Indeed, $Z' \times_{X \times_Z Z'} X' \to Z'$ is finite and an isomorphism over U, hence has a canonical section. We can thus replace X and Z with X' and Z' and assume that X is a scheme.

Since X is a scheme, and hence locally separated, by Raynaud–Gruson there is a blow-up $Z' \to Z$ such that the strict transform $X' \to Z'$ of $X \to Z$ is étale [RG71, Thm. 5.7.11]. After shrinking S, we may assume that $Z' \to S$ is flat. Then since $U \subseteq Z'$ remains dense after arbitrary pull-back over S, we have that $\mathbf{R}_{Z'/S}(X \times_Z Z') = \mathbf{R}_{Z'/S}(X')$. Using Proposition C.7 and replacing $X \to Z$ with $X' \to Z'$, we may thus assume that $X \to Z$ in addition is étale.

Finally, we note that the étale morphism $X \to Z$ corresponds to a constructible sheaf and that $\mathbf{R}_{Z/S}(X)$ is nothing but the étale sheaf f_*X, which is constructible by a special case of the proper base change theorem [SGA4$_3$, XIV.1.1]. That is, the étale morphism $\mathbf{R}_{Z/S}(X) \to S$ is of finite presentation.

For the second part of the theorem on groups, we may assume that S is the spectrum of a field k. By the first part $\mathbf{R}_{Z/S}(X)$ is then a group scheme G of finite type over k. Let $H = G_{\mathrm{ant}}$ be the largest anti-affine subgroup of G. It is normal, connected and smooth and the quotient G/H is affine [DG70, §III.3.8].

The counit $G \times_k Z \to X$ of the adjunction (f^*, f_*) is a group homomorphism and induces a group homomorphism $H \times_k Z \to X$. It is enough to show that this factors through the unit section of $X \to Z$, because this forces $H = 0$ by adjunction.

Note that for every stack $W \to \operatorname{Spec} k$, the pull-back $H \times_k W \to W$ is an anti-affine group in the sense that the push-forward of $\mathcal{O}_{H \times_k W}$ is $\mathcal{O}_W$ by flat base change. Since $X \to Z$ has affine fibers, there is a finitely presented filtration (Z_i) of Z with strata $Y_i^{[n]}$ over which $X \times_Z Y_i^{[n]} \to Y_i^{[n]}$ is affine. Since $H \times_k Y_i^{[n]}$ is anti-affine, it follows that the restricted counit $H \times_k Y_i^{[n]} \to X \times_Z Y_i^{[n]}$ factors through the unit section $Y_i^{[n]} \to X \times_Z Y_i^{[n]}$.

Let E be the equalizer of $H \times_k Z \to X$ and the constant map $H \times_k Z \to Z \to X$. The above discussion shows that the monomorphism $E \to H \times_k Z$ is an isomorphism over every strata $Y_i^{[n]}$, hence an isomorphism (Proposition A.3, using that the filtration is separating since Z is noetherian). □

Let us conclude with a brief discussion on how the methods of this appendix can be used to extend [Ols07a]. The following theorem generalizes both Theorem C.1 and [Ols07a, Thm. 1.1]. Indeed, the latter is the special case where $X \to Z$ is quasi-finite and proper and $Z \to S$ is Deligne–Mumford.

Theorem C.8. *Let $f\colon Z \to S$ be a proper, flat and finitely presented morphism of algebraic stacks. Let $X \to Z$ be a morphism of finite presentation that has unramified and finite inertia. Moreover, assume that the relative coarse moduli space $X_{\mathrm{cms}} \to Z$ has affine fibers. Then the Weil restriction $f_*X = \mathbf{R}_{Z/S}(X) \to S$ is of finite presentation.*

The results of C.2–C.5 hold as stated even if $X \to Z$ is not representable. Lemma C.6 holds for $X \to S$ relatively Deligne–Mumford with the modification that the conclusion is that $T' \to T$ is a morphism of effective descent for the fibered category X (the proof of [Ryd10, Thm. 7.4] is via descent of étale morphisms and goes through verbatim). Proposition C.7 holds for $X \to Z$ relatively Deligne–Mumford: in the proof one replaces the equalizer E with the stack of proper descent data $\underline{\mathrm{Des}}(W/Z)$ as in [Ols07a, 4.2] and it follows that $\mathbf{R}_{Z/S} \to \underline{\mathrm{Des}}(W/Z)$ is an isomorphism over normal schemes by the lemma.

The proof of Theorem C.8 now proceeds as the proof of Theorem C.1 with the following modifications. We can only arrange so that $X|_U \to U$ is a coarse moduli space and not an isomorphism. We can replace X with its normalization and assume that X is normal. Then we have a rigidification $X \to Y \to Z$ where $X \to Y$ is a gerbe and $Y \to Z$ is an orbifold. If we first treat the case when $X = Y$ is an orbifold, then we may use the same argument as when X was an algebraic space to reduce to X a scheme and then to $X \to Z$ étale. We can thus assume that $X \to Z$ is a proper étale gerbe. By replacing Z with a normalization in an extension of $K(Z)$, we may assume that $X \to Z$ admits a section.

We complete the argument when $X \to Z$ is a proper étale gerbe admitting a section as in [Ols07a, Prop. 3.1] but correcting one small error. We apply proper base change instead of smooth base change (the latter only applies if the gerbe is tame). The sheaves $R^1 f_* G$ etc are then only constructible and

not locally constant but this is not a problem: after passing to a dense open subset of S, all constructible sheaves in question becomes locally constant. With this modification of the proof, we do not need to assume that $Z \to S$ is smooth and we do not need to use alteration of singularities (as in [Ols07a, 6.4]).

We expect that the assumption in Theorem C.8 on unramified inertia can be replaced with tameness as in [AOV11, Thm. C.2 (ii)].

References

[AB05] V. Alexeev and M. Brion, *Moduli of affine schemes with reductive group action*, J. Algebraic Geom. **14** (2005), no. 1, 83–117.

[AHR14] J. Alper, J. Hall, and D. Rydh, *The étale local structure of algebraic stacks*, in preparation, 2014.

[AK14] J. Alper and A. Kresch, *Equivariant versal deformations of semistable curves*, 2014, preprint available on homepage.

[Alp10] J. Alper, *On the local quotient structure of Artin stacks*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **214** (2010), no. 9, 1576 – 1591.

[Alp13] J. Alper, *Good moduli spaces for Artin stacks*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **63** (2013), no. 6, 2349–2402.

[Aok06a] M. Aoki, *Hom stacks*, Manuscripta Math. **119** (2006), no. 1, 37–56.

[Aok06b] ———, *Hom stacks: erratum*, Manuscripta Math. **121** (2006), no. 1, 135.

[AOV11] D. Abramovich, M. Olsson, and A. Vistoli, *Twisted stable maps to tame Artin stacks*, J. Algebraic Geom. **20** (2011), no. 3, 399–477.

[BC14] M. Brandenburg and A. Chirvasitu, *Tensor functors between categories of quasi-coherent sheaves*, J. Algebra **399** (2014), 675–692.

[Bha14] B. Bhatt, *Algebraization and Tannaka duality*, preprint, April 2014, arXiv:1404.7483.

[Bra14] M. Brandenburg, *Tensor categorical foundations of algebraic geometry*, Ph.D. thesis, Wilhelms-Universität Münster, May 2014, p. 243.

[Bri09] M. Brion, *Anti-affine algebraic groups*, J. Algebra **321** (2009), no. 3, 934–952.

[BZ10] D. Ben-Zvi, *How to unify various reconstruction theorems (Gabriel-Rosenberg, Tannaka, Balmers)*, MathOverflow answer at `http://mathoverflow.net/q/16334`, 2010.

[Con10] B. Conrad, *Smooth linear algebraic groups over the dual numbers*, MathOverflow question at `http://mathoverflow.net/questions/22078/smooth-linear-algebraic-groups-over-the-dual-numbers`, 2010.

[Del90] P. Deligne, *Catégories tannakiennes*, The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. II, Progr. Math., vol. 87, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 111–195.

[DG70] M. Demazure and P. Gabriel, *Groupes algébriques. Tome I: Géométrie algébrique, généralités, groupes commutatifs*, Masson & Cie, Éditeur, Paris, 1970, Avec un appendice *Corps de classes local* par Michiel Hazewinkel.

[DG13] V. Drinfeld and D. Gaitsgory, *On some finiteness questions for algebraic stacks*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **23** (2013), no. 1, 149–294.

[EGA] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique*, I.H.E.S. Publ. Math. **4, 8, 11, 17, 20, 24, 28, 32** (1960, 1961, 1961, 1963, 1964, 1965, 1966, 1967).

[Fer03] D. Ferrand, *Conducteur, descente et pincement*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **131** (2003), no. 4, 553–585.

[FI13] H. Fukuyama and I. Iwanari, *Monoidal infinity category of complexes from Tannakian viewpoint*, Math. Ann. **356** (2013), no. 2, 519–553.

[FR70] D. Ferrand and M. Raynaud, *Fibres formelles d'un anneau local noethérien*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **3** (1970), 295–311.

[Gab62] P. Gabriel, *Des catégories abéliennes*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **90** (1962), 323–448.

[Gro13] P. Gross, *Tensor generators on schemes and stacks*, preprint, June 2013, arXiv:1306.5418.

[GZ14] A. Geraschenko and D. Zureick-Brown, *Formal GAGA for good moduli spaces*, Algebraic Geometry (2014), to appear.

[Hal14a] J. Hall, *Cohomology and base change for algebraic stacks*, Math. Z. **278** (2014), no. 1-2, 401–429.

[Hal14b] ———, *Openness of versality via coherent functors*, J. Reine. Angew. Math. (2014), available online, http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/crelle-2014-0057.

[HNR14] J. Hall, A. Neeman, and D. Rydh, *One positive and two negative results for derived categories of algebraic stacks*, preprint, May 2014, arXiv:1405.1888.

[HP14] D. Halpern-Leistner and A. Preygel, *Mapping stacks and categorical notions of properness*, preprint, February 2014, arXiv:1402.3204.

[HR14a] J. Hall and D. Rydh, *Algebraic groups and compact generation of their derived categories of representations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. (2014), accepted for publication.

[HR14b] ———, *General Hilbert stacks and Quot schemes*, Michigan Math. J. (2014), accepted for publication.

[HR14c] ———, *The Hilbert stack*, Adv. Math. **253** (2014), 194–233.

[HR14d] ———, *Perfect complexes on algebraic stacks*, preprint, May 2014, arXiv:1405.1887.

[HR14e] ———, *Tannaka duality for algebraic stacks with quasi-affine diagonal*, 2014, in preparation.

[Iwa14] I. Iwanari, *Tannaka duality and stable infinity-categories*, preprint, September 2014, arXiv:1409.3321.

[Kre99] A. Kresch, *Cycle groups for Artin stacks*, Invent. Math. **138** (1999), no. 3, 495–536.

[KS06] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Categories and sheaves*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 332, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.

[Laz64] D. Lazard, *Sur les modules plats*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **258** (1964), 6313–6316.

[LMB] G. Laumon and L. Moret-Bailly, *Champs algébriques*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge., vol. 39, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.

[LT12] Y.-H. Liu and H.-H. Tseng, *Stacks associated to abelian tensor categories*, preprint, June 2012, arXiv:1206.0076.

[Lur04] J. Lurie, *Tannaka duality for geometric stacks*, preprint, December 2004, arXiv:math/0412266, p. 14.

[Mat80] H. Matsumura, *Commutative algebra*, second ed., Mathematics Lecture Note Series, vol. 56, Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, Mass., 1980.

[Mat89] ———, *Commutative ring theory*, second ed., Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 8, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989, Translated from the Japanese by M. Reid.

[MB96] L. Moret-Bailly, *Un problème de descente*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **124** (1996), no. 4, 559–585.

[ML98] S. Mac Lane, *Categories for the working mathematician*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 5, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.

[Ols05] M. Olsson, *On proper coverings of Artin stacks*, Adv. Math. **198** (2005), no. 1, 93–106.

[Ols06a] ———, *Deformation theory of representable morphisms of algebraic stacks*, Math. Z. **253** (2006), no. 1, 25–62.

[Ols06b] ———, Hom*-stacks and restriction of scalars*, Duke Math. J. **134** (2006), no. 1, 139–164.

[Ols07a] ———, *A boundedness theorem for Hom-stacks*, Math. Res. Lett. **14** (2007), no. 6, 1009–1021.

[Ols07b] ———, *Sheaves on Artin stacks*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **603** (2007), 55–112.

[Ray70a] M. Raynaud, *Anneaux locaux henséliens*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 169, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970.

[Ray70b] ———, *Faisceaux amples sur les schémas en groupes et les espaces homogènes*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 119, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970.

[RG71] M. Raynaud and L. Gruson, *Critères de platitude et de projectivité. Techniques de "platification" d'un module*, Invent. Math. **13** (1971), 1–89.

[Ryd10] D. Rydh, *Submersions and effective descent of étale morphisms*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **138** (2010), no. 2, 181–230.

[Ryd11a] ———, *The canonical embedding of an unramified morphism in an étale morphism*, Math. Z. **268** (2011), no. 3-4, 707–723.

[Ryd11b] ———, *Étale dévissage, descent and pushouts of stacks*, J. Algebra **331** (2011), 194–223.

[Ryd11c] ———, *Representability of Hilbert schemes and Hilbert stacks of points*, Comm. Algebra **39** (2011), no. 7, 2632–2646.

[Ryd14] ———, *Approximation of sheaves on algebraic stacks*, preprint, August 2014, arXiv:1408.6698v2.

[Ryd15] ———, *Noetherian approximation of algebraic spaces and stacks*, J. Algebra **422** (2015), 105–147.

[Sav06] V. Savin, *Tannaka duality on quotient stacks*, Manuscripta Math. **119** (2006), no. 3, 287–303.

[Sch12] D. Schäppi, *A characterization of categories of coherent sheaves of certain algebraic stacks*, preprint, June 2012, arXiv:1206.2764.

[Sch14] D. Schäppi, *Which abelian tensor categories are geometric?*, J. Reine. Angew. Math. (2014), available online, http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/crelle-2014-0053.

[SGA4$_2$] *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas. Tome 2*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 270, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1963–1964 (SGA 4), Dirigé par M. Artin, A. Grothendieck et J. L. Verdier. Avec la collaboration de N. Bourbaki, P. Deligne et B. Saint-Donat.

[SGA4$_3$] *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas. Tome 3*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 305, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1963–1964 (SGA 4), Dirigé par M. Artin, A. Grothendieck et J. L. Verdier. Avec la collaboration de P. Deligne et B. Saint-Donat.

[Stacks] The Stacks Project Authors, *Stacks Project*, `http://math.columbia.edu/algebraic_geometry/stacks-git`.

[Tem11] M. Temkin, *Relative Riemann-Zariski spaces*, Israel J. Math. **185** (2011), 1–42.

[Ton14] F. Tonini, *Sheafification functors and Tannaka's reconstruction*, preprint, September 2014, arXiv:1409.4073.

[Tot04] B. Totaro, *The resolution property for schemes and stacks*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **577** (2004), 1–22.

[Wal12] J. Wallbridge, *Tannaka duality over ring spectra*, preprint, April 2012, arXiv:1204.5787.

[Wis14] J. Wise, *Moduli of morphisms of logarithmic schemes*, preprint, July 2014, arXiv:1408.0037.

Mathematical Sciences Institute, The Australian National University, Acton ACT 2601, Australia

E-mail address: `jack.hall@anu.edu.au`

KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Department of Mathematics, 100 44 Stockholm, Sweden

E-mail address: `dary@math.kth.se`